

Implementation of Prolog

Implementation of Prolog

People	Location	Year	Type	Technique
Battani & Meloni	Marseille	1973	Fortran interpreter	structure sharing
David H.D. Warren	Edinburgh	1977	DEC-10 Prolog (native code)	structure sharing + multiple stacks + TRO
David H.D. Warren	SRI	1983	abstract machine + emulator	structure copying + goal stacking
David H.D. Warren	SRI	1984	WAM + emulator	structure copying + environment stacking

Implementation of Prolog

- Implementations based on the WAM: Quintus Prolog, Berkeley machine (PLM), NEC machine (HPM), ECRC machine (KCM).
- Prolog systems: SICStus Prolog, Arity Prolog, Mac Prolog, LPA Prolog, SWI Prolog, IC-Prolog, Turbo-Prolog, GNU-Prolog etc.

Implementation of Prolog

- Differences between compilation of Prolog programs and compilation of imperative languages:
 - ▶ logical variable (no destructive assignment. Once the variable is instantiated to a value, this can not change unless on backtracking).
 - ▶ backtracking (it does not recover space on procedure exit, unless it is executing the last clause of a predicate).
 - ▶ in imperative programming we remove the last execution stack on exit (call: push, exit: pop). In Prolog, stacks stay there till the last clause of a predicate is executed.

Implementation of Prolog

- WAM (Warren Abstract Machine)
 - ▶ Types of terms WAM: constant (integers or atoms), variable, structure, list, floating point.
 - ▶ Procedure: set of clauses with same name and arity.
 - ▶ Term Representation:
+---+---+
| TAG | N |
+---+---+
 - ▶ variable: REF can be a pointer to another variable, to a structure or to a list in the heap, or to itself (non-instantiated var)

+---+---+
| VAR | REF |
+---+---+

Implementation of Prolog

- constant: N, in general, is an index in a symbol table (normally implemented as a hash table).
+---+---+
| CTE | N |
+---+---+

- structure:
+---+---+
| STR | P | P points to the structure
+---+---+
+---+---+
P: | FN | ARI | functor name is found in a table
+---+---+ using index FN, ARI (arity of the term)

- list:
+---+---+
| LIS | P |
+---+---+

Implementation of Prolog

- Variable Classification:
 - ▶ regarding location during execution:
 - local: do not appear in functors (compound terms)
 - global: appear in functors
- Ex: p(X,f(X,a),Y). % X is global, Y is local

Implementation of Prolog

- regarding lifetime:
 - ▶ temporary: appear only in the head and/or in the first literal of the clause body.
 - ▶ permanent: can appear in the head and after the first literal in the clause body.

Ex: `d((U*V), X, ((DU*V)+(U*DV))) :-
 d(U, X, DU), % V, X and DV are permanent
 d(V, X, DV). % U and DU are temporary`

Implementation of Prolog

- regarding creation time:
 - ▶ conditional: created and not instantiated before a choicepoint. Can have different values depending on the alternative clauses in the choicepoint.
 - ▶ unconditional: is already instantiated when the choicepoint is created, therefore it does not change values.

Implementation of Prolog

- Components of the abstract machine:
 - ▶ Instruction set
 - ▶ Registers
 - ▶ Memory areas:
 - code + data
 - local stack: stores information about environments, choicepoints and local variables
 - Heap (global): stores structures (compound terms) and variables that appear in structures (global variables)
 - Trail: stores addresses of conditional variables: those that need to be unbound upon failure of a clause of a predicate
- algorithms: dereferencing, unification and backtracking

Implementation of Prolog

- Structure sharing: structures are not copied to the heap. Instead, the variables are copied. There are pointers from the runtime environment to the code area.
- Structure copying: there is no pointer from the execution environment to the code area.
- Structure Sharing x Structure Copying:
 - ▶ Sharing saves memory, but it can lose locality
 - ▶ Copying uses more space, but it can win in locality

Implementation of Prolog

- Registers:

Reg	purpose	pointer to
P	program counter	code area
CP	continuation pointer	code area
E	local stack top	environment stacking
B	last choicepoint	local stack
TR	trail top	trail
H	heap top	heap
HB	last choicepoint	heap
S	heap structure being unified	heap
Xi	arguments of second and upper levels	code area
Ai	arguments of first level	code area
Yi	local variables	code area

Implementation of Prolog

- Instruction Set:

Control:

allocate

call P/n, N

execute P/n

allocates space to local variables
prepares environment with label
P/n indicating that there are N lo-
cal variables in the stack
jumps to label P/n

First level unification:

get_variable Ri,Aj

creates a slot for a variable in the
heap (if Ri is Xi) or in the stack
(if Ri is Yi), dereference whatever
comes in Aj and unifies with Ri
get_value Ri,Aj dereferences Ri and
Aj and unifies Ri with Aj

get_constant c,Ai

dereferences Ai and unifies with c

get_structure F/n,Ai

dereferences Ai and unifies with F/n

Implementation of Prolog

- Instruction Set:

Unification of upper level terms:

unify_variable Ri

dereferences what is pointed by S,
creates slot in the heap, Ri points
to that slot, unifies S with Ri

unify_constant c

dereferences whatever is pointed by
S, unifies with c

unify_structure F/n

dereferences whatever is pointed by
S, unifies with F/n and invokes uni-
fication again

Implementation of Prolog

- Instruction Set:

PUT Instructions: transfer values from arguments to registers

put_variable Ri,Aj

put_constant c,Aj

put_structure F/n,Aj

Implementation of Prolog

- Instructions *_variable are generated for the first occurrence of a variable in the clause. The subsequent occurrences of the same variable generate *_value.
- Instructions *_constant are generated when constants are found in the code.
- Instructions *_structure are generated when we find compound terms of first or upper level in the code.
- Instructions for choicepoints: generated at each clause entry and only executed if the argument that comes is an unbound variable (in this case, can not index and jump directly to a given clause)
try_me_else L, retry_me_else L, trust_me

Implementation of Prolog

- Indexing instructions:

first level:

`switch_on_term Lv,Lc,Ll,Ls`

dereferences register A1 (first argument). If it is:

-- variable, jump to Lv

-- constant, jump to Lc

-- list, jump to Ll

-- structure, jump to Ls

second level:

`switch_on_constant N, {c1:L1, c2:L2, ..., cn:LN}`

`switch_on_structure N, {s1:L1, s2:L2, ..., sn:LN}`

third level:

`try L`

`retry L`

`trust L`

Implementation of Prolog

- Indexing instructions are generated by the compiler after the code for all clauses of a predicate is generated.
- Although these instructions index only on the first argument, there are Prolog implementations that generate code for indexing on more than the first argument.

Implementation of Prolog

- Read and Write Mode in the unification instructions:
 - ▶ Read Mode: used for unification of already existing structure.
 - ▶ Write Mode: to build a new structure.

In read mode:

unify_variable X	X := next argument of S
unify_value X	unify X with next argument of S
unify_constant C	unify C with next argument of S

In write mode:

unify_variable X	X := reference to next arg of H :=
unify_value X	next argument of H := X
unify_constant C	next argument of H := C

Implementation of Prolog

- General form of a Prolog compiled code:

p :- q, r, s.

allocate

get args de P

put args de q

call q, n

put args de r

call r, n1

put args de s

deallocate

execute s

p :- q

get args de p

put args de q

execute q

p.

get args de P

proceed

Implementation of Prolog

```
gf(X,Z) :- parent(X,Y), parent(Y,Z).  
parent(joao,maria).  
parent(joao,jose).  
parent(jose,maria).  
?- gf(joao,X).  
  
consulta/0:    allocate          % query code  
               put_constant joao,A1  % gf(joao,  
               put_variable Y1,A2    % X  

```

Implementation of Prolog

Structures:

```
?-p(Z,h(Z,W),f(W)). % first level : A1 = Z, A2 = h(Z,W), A3 = f(W),
% second level: X4 = Z, X5 = W
```

```
p(f(X),h(Y,f(a)),Y). % first level : A1 = f(X), A2 = h(Y,f(a)), A3 = Y
% second level: X4 = X, X5 = Y, X6 = f(a)
% third level : X7 = a
```

```
consulta/0:    put_variable X4,A1      % p(Z, Z was renamed to X4
               put_structure h/2,A2    %   h
               set_value X4           %   (Z,
               set_variable X5         %   W),
               put_structure f/1,A3    %   f(
               set_value X5           %   W
               call p/3,0              %   ).
```

```
p/3:          get_structure f/1,A1    % p(f
               unify_variable X4       %   (X),
               get_structure h/2,A2    %   h
               unify_variable X5       %   (Y,
               unify_variable X6       %   X6),
               get_value X5,A3         %   Y),
               get_structure f/1,X6    % X6 = f
               unify_variable X7       %   (X7)
               get_structure a/0,X7    % X7 = a
               proceed
```

Implementation of Prolog

List concatenation:

```
-----  
app([],L,L).  
app([X|L1],L2,[X|L3]) :- app(L1,L2,L3).
```

```
app/3:  
    switch_on_term C1a,C1,C2,fail  
  
C1a:   try_me_else C2a          % app(  
C1:     get_constant nil,A1    % [],  
      get_value A2,A3           % L, L  
      proceed                  % ).  
  
C2a:   trust_me               % app(  
C2:     get_list A1            % [  
      unify_variable X4         % X|  
      unify_variable A1          % L1], L2,  
      get_list A3               % [  
      unify_value X4             % X|  
      unify_variable A3          % L3] ) :-  
      execute app/3              % app(L1,L2,L3).
```

NB: This code is extremely optimized. Various instructions that would normally be generated do not appear in this code.

Exercise: generate the normal code for app/3 without optimizations.

Implementation of Prolog

Quicksort:

```
-----
qs([],R,R).
qs([X|L],R0,R) :-  
    split(L,X,L1,L2),  
    qs(L1,R0,[X|R1]),  
    qs(L2,R1,R).  
  
qs/3:   switch_on_term C1a,C1,C2,fail  
  
C1a:   try_me_else C2a      % qs(  
C1:     get_constant nil,A1  % [],  
        get_value A2,A3       % R, R  
        proceed                % ).  
  
C2a:   trust_me            % qs(  
C2:     allocate  
        get_list A1          % [  
        unify_variable Y6      % X|  
        unify_variable A1      % L],  
        get_variable Y5,A2     % R0,  
        get_variable Y3,A3     % R) :-  
        put_value Y6,A2        % split(L,X,  
        put_variable Y4,A3      %           L1,  
        put_variable Y1,A4      %           L2  
        call split/4,6          % ),  
        put_unsafe_value Y4,A1  % qs(L1,  
        put_value Y5,A2        % R0,  
        put_list A3            % [  
        unify_value Y6          % X|  
        unify_variable Y2        % R1]  
        call qs/3,3             % ),  
        put_unsafe_value Y1,A1  % qs(L2,  
        put_value Y2,A2        % R1,  
        put_value Y3,A3        % R  
        deallocate  
        execute qs/3           % ).
```

NB: Again, this code is extremely optimized, including reuse of registers to minimize their use. The instruction `put_unsafe_value` is used to save variables that in the stack in the heap, in case there is reutilization of space (instruction `execute` may reutilize the current stack environment).