Probabilistic Knowledge Representation and Reasoning

sprinkler example based on Gilad Barkan's slides, slideshare Chapter 14, AIMA, 3rd edition Chapter 13, AIMA, 3rd edition – review on probability

A D F A 目 F A E F A E F A Q Q

Belief Networks

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで



- Probabilistic Graphical Model (PGM)
- Graphical (Directed Acyclic Graph) Model
- Nodes are variables (features):
 - ▶ random variable with a probability distribution
 - ▶ set of parameters/values/states. For example:
 - Weather = {sunny, cloudy, rainy};
 - Sprinkler={off,on};
 - Lawn={dry,wet}
 - Possible scenario:

Weather=rainy, Sprinkler=off, Lawn=wet

- Edges (links) represent relations between variables
- Edges **may** indicate causality (for example, "rainy weather" ou "sprinkler" **may be** the cause of "wet lawn").

Belief Networks

• Conditional Probability Table: used to store all beliefs related with the possible states of a node (variable)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Belief Networks



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ □ のへで

Bayesian Inference

- Once we have a model consisting of a **graph** and **TPCs**, we can answer queries like:
 - Given that it rained, would the lawn be wet? (trivial)
 - Given that the lawn is wet, what could be the reason?

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくぐ

- "rainy weather"?
- "sprinkler on"?

Bayes theorem in action!



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Bayes theorem in action!



Sabendo a evidência, P pode deixar de ter valor 0.01 Nova probabilidade para P: a posteriori update belief

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくぐ

Bayesian Inference

• From our example, what can we answer using the Bayes theorem?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

- Possible metric (Bayesian decision rule): Maximum a Posteriori Probability (MAP)
 - ▶ P(Weather=rainy|Lawn=wet) = 0.93; P(Sprinkler=on|Lawn=wet)=0.024
- In this case, the lawn is wet because it rained!

Bayesian Inference



Probabilities calculated with the samIam software

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○□ のへで

Bayesian Inference

Is the lawn wet because it rained?

$$P(Weather = rainy \mid Lawn = Wet) =$$

$$= \frac{P(Weather = rainy \land Lawn = Wet)}{P(Lawn = Wet)} =$$

 $= \frac{P(Weather = rainy \land Lawn = Wet \land Sprinkler)}{P(Lawn = Wet \land Weather \land Sprinkler)}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへで

Bayesian Inference: simpler example with only boolean variables



SR	P(W=F)	P(W=T)
FF	1.0	0.0
ΤΕ	0.1	0.9

Bayesian Inference: simpler example with only boolean variables

with True=1 and False=0, to simplify

$$P(S = 1 \mid W = 1) = \frac{P(S = 1, W = 1)}{P(W = 1)} = 0.43$$

$$\begin{split} &P(W=1) \\ &= \sum_{c=0}^{1} \sum_{r=0}^{1} \sum_{s=0}^{1} P(W=1, C=c, R=r, S=s) \\ &= \sum_{c=0}^{1} \sum_{r=0}^{1} \sum_{s=0}^{1} P(W=1 \mid S=s, R=r) \cdot P(S=s \mid C=c) \cdot P(R=r \mid C=c) \cdot P(C=c) \\ &= \sum_{c=0}^{1} P(C=c) \sum_{r=0}^{1} P(R=r \mid C=c) \sum_{s=0}^{1} P(S=s \mid C=c) \cdot P(W=1 \mid S=s, R=r). \end{split}$$

Bayesian Inference: simpler example with only boolean variables

$$\begin{split} &P(S=1 \mid W=1) \\ &= \frac{P(S=1,W=1)}{P(W=1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{P(W=1)} \sum_{r=0}^{1} \sum_{c=0}^{1} P(S=1,W=1,R=r,C=c) \\ &= \frac{1}{P(W=1)} \sum_{r=0}^{1} \sum_{c=0}^{1} P(W=1 \mid S=1,R=r) \cdot P(S=1 \mid C=c) \cdot P(R=r \mid C=c) \cdot P(C=c) \\ &= \frac{1}{P(W=1)} \sum_{c=0}^{1} P(C=c) P(S=1 \mid C=c) \sum_{r=0}^{1} P(R=r \mid C=c) \cdot P(W=1 \mid S=1,R=r) \end{split}$$

Probabilistic Reasoning Systems

- How to build reasoning systems that can use uncertainty?
- **Belief Networks** or Bayesian networks: graph with the following characteristics:
 - ▶ Nodes are random variables.
 - Directed edges are connections between the random variables.
 - Each node has a conditional probability table that probabilistically represents the effect of this nodes's parents.

- The graph has no cycles (it is a DAG).
- relatively easy to define relations, but tricky to define probabilities.

- Example: burglar alarm.
- Alarm sounds in two situations: burglary or earthquake.
- John e Mary are neighbours that call the owner's house when the alrm goes off.
- John calls whenever the alarm goes off and also when the telephone rings.
- Mary calls only when the alarm goes off, but sometimes she doesn't hear the alarm.
- Given the evidence of who called the house owner, what is the probability of a burglary?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Belief Networks



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- Network only represents direct connections, "causal".
- Nothing is informed about Mary listening to loud music or to John confounding the telephone with the alarm sound.

Burglary	Earthquake	$\mathbf{P}(Alarm \mid Burglary, Earthquake)$
Т	Т	$0.950 \ 0.050$
Т	\mathbf{F}	0.950 0.050
F	Т	$0.290 \ 0.710$
F	\mathbf{F}	$0.001 \ 0.999$

A D F A 目 F A E F A E F A Q Q

• Conditional Probability Table:



- Two ways of understanding:
 - representation of the joint probability distribution. Useful to build the network.
 - ▶ set of conditionally independent variables. Useful to design inference procedures.
- Representing the joint probability:
 - ▶ each table entry can be calculated through the information available in the network.

うして ふゆ く は く は く む く し く

• a generic table entry represents the probability of a conjunction of the random variable values: $P(X_1 = x_1 \land \ldots \land X_n = x_n).$ $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i \mid Parents(X_i))$

- each table entry is represented by the product of the appropriate elements of the CPT (Conditional Probability Table).
- A CPT provides a decomposed representation of the joint distribution.
- Example:

$$\begin{split} P(J \wedge M \wedge A \wedge \neg B \wedge \neg E) &= P(J \mid A)P(M \mid A)P(A \mid \neg B \wedge \neg E)P(\neg B)P(\neg E) = 0.9 \times 0.7 \times 0.001 \times 0.999 \times 0.998 = 0.00062 \end{split}$$

うして ふゆ く は く は く む く し く

- Method to build belief networks:
 - Network is built such that each node is conditionally independent of its predecessors, given the probability of its parents.
 - equation $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i \mid Parents(x_i))$ used to guide the knowledge engineer to build the network topology.
 - ▶ In order to build the network so that its structure is correct for the domain, choose suitable parents that guarantee that each node is conditionally independent on its parents.

うして ふゆ く は く は く む く し く

- In general: $\mathbf{P}(X_i \mid X_{i-1}, \dots, X_1) = P(X_i \mid Parents(X_i)), \text{ given that}$ $Parents(X_i) \text{ is a subset of } \{x_{i-1}, \dots, x_1\}$
- This condition holds as long as we label the nodes in a way that is consistent with the partial order that is implicit in the graph structure.
- For example: Mary calls: is not **directly** affected by burglary or earthquake. It is affected by its effect: the alarm goes off.
- John calls also does not have direct influence over Mary calls. In that case, we jave conditional independence:
 P(MaryCalls | JohnCalls, Alarm, Earthquake, Burglary) =
 P(MaryCalls | Alarm)

- General procedure:
 - 1. Coose a subset X_i of relevante variables that describe the domain.
 - 2. Choose an order for those variables.
 - 3. While there are variables to be placed in the network:

a) Take a var X_i and add a node to the network for that variable.
b) Build the set Parents(X_i) with a minimum set of nodes already in the net, such that the conditional independence constraint is satisfied.

A D F A 目 F A E F A E F A Q Q

c) Define the CPT for node X_i .

Belief Networks

- Procedure guarantees that network is acyclic.
- Network does not have redundant probability values.
- Guarantees that the axioms of probability theory are not violated.

A D F A 目 F A E F A E F A Q Q

Belief Networks

• Assuming the order: M, J, A, B, E



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

$$P(J \mid M) = P(J)?$$

Belief Networks

• Assuming the order: M, J, A, B, E



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへぐ

$$P(J \mid M) = P(J)? \text{ No}$$

$$P(A \mid J, M) = P(A \mid J)?P(A \mid J, M) = p(A)?$$

• Assuming the order: M, J, A, B, E



$$P(J | M) = P(J)$$
? No
 $P(A | J, M) = P(A | J)$? $P(A | J, M) = p(A)$? No
 $P(B | A, J, M) = P(B | A)$?
 $P(B | A, J, M) = P(B)$?

• Assuming the order: M, J, A, B, E



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへぐ

$$P(J | M) = P(J)? \text{ No}$$

$$P(A | J, M) = P(A | J)?P(A | J, M) = p(A)? \text{ No}$$

$$P(B | A, J, M) = P(B | A)? \text{ Yes}$$

$$P(B | A, J, M) = P(B)? \text{ No}$$

$$P(E | B, A, J, M) = P(E | A)?$$

$$P(E | B, A, J, M) = P(E | A, B)?$$

• Assuming the order: M, J, A, B, E



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

$$P(J | M) = P(J)? \text{ No} P(A | J, M) = P(A | J)?P(A | J, M) = p(A)? \text{ No} P(B | A, J, M) = P(B | A)? \text{ Yes} P(B | A, J, M) = P(B)? \text{ No} P(E | B, A, J, M) = P(E | A)? \text{ No} P(E | B, A, J, M) = P(E | A, B)? \text{ Yes}$$

- Belief networks are more compact than the joint probability distribution table.
- **locally structured** systems: sparse with info distributed among the nodes.
- Polynomial growth.
- Assuming that most of the nodes are directly affected by at most k other variables (parents).

A D F A 目 F A E F A E F A Q Q

- Number of entries in each CPT: 2^k .
- To the complete network (n nodes): $n2^k$.

- Concrete example: network with 20 nodes and at most 5 parents per node:
 - ▶ belief networks: 640 entries.
 - joint probability table: order of 10^6 entries.
- Number of edges: the more we have the greater the precision, but a big number of edges may increase the table sizes.

A D F A 目 F A E F A E F A Q Q

• General rule: add to the network: first the causal nodes and then their effects.

- Problem: choose the conditional probabilities of a TPC.
- Relation between parents and children nodes can fit a canonical distribution. In that case, probs can be specified by names and aditional parameters.
- Simple example: deterministic nodes. Probs are the same as probs of their parents. Representation can be more compact.

A D F A 目 F A E F A E F A Q Q

• Non-deterministc nodes: noisy-OR.

- Representation of probs:
 - ▶ If all parents are False, output node will be False with 100% probability.
 - ► If only one of the parents is True, output node will have value False with noisy parameter of that parent.
- Ex: $P(Fever \mid Cold) = 0.4$, $P(Fever \mid Flu) = 0.8$ and $P(Fever \mid Malaria) = 0.9$

Cold	Flu	Malaria	P(Fever)	$P(\neg Fever)$
F	F	F	0.0	1.0
F	F	Т	0.9	0.1
F	Т	F	0.8	0.2
F	Т	Т	0.98	$0.02 = 0.2 \times 0.1$
Т	\mathbf{F}	F	0.4	0.6
Т	\mathbf{F}	Т	0.94	$0.06 = 0.6 \times 0.1$
Т	Т	F	0.88	$0.12 = 0.6 \times 0.2$
Т	Т	Т	0.988	$0.012 = 0.6 \times 0.2 \times 0.1$

- Need to answer: "Is there any set of nodes X independent of other set Y, given the evidence E?"
- Method: direction-dependent separation or d-separation.
- d-separation: if every edge between X and Y is d-separated by E, then X and Y are conditionally independent, given E. In that condition, we say that the edge is **blocked**.

A D F A 目 F A E F A E F A Q Q

Belief Networks

- An edge is blocked, given a set of nodes E, if there is a path such that one of the following conditions is satisfied:
 - 1. Variable Z is in E and Z has an arc incident and other not incident.
 - 2. Z is in E and Z has both arcs non incident.
 - 3. Nor Z nor any descendant of Z are in E, and both arcs are incident to Z.

▲ロト ▲周ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のくぐ

Belief Networks



・ロト ・四ト ・モト ・モト

æ

Belief Networks

 Objective: compute posterior probability distribution for a set of query variables, given the evidence: P(Query | Evidence).

A D F A 目 F A E F A E F A Q Q

• In principle any node can be query or evidence. When learning classifiers, one variable is the query and some others are evidence.

- **Diagnostic**: *P*(*Burglary* | *JohnCalls*) (effects to causes).
- **Causal**: *P*(*JohnCalls* | *Burglary*) (cause to effects).
- Inter-causal: $P(Burglary | Alarm \land Earthquake)$.
- Mixed: combination of one or more of the previous cases.
- Belief Networks can be used to:
 - make decisions.
 - decide which evidence variables to observe in order to obtain more useful information.
 - ▶ to perform "sensitivity analysis" and understand which aspects of the model have greater impact on the probability of the query variables.
 - ▶ Explain the results of the probabilistic inference to the user.



- Node X has parents U and children Y.
- Blocks are "singly connected": all blocks are disjunct and do not have links.
- X is the query variable.
- Objective: compute $P(X \mid E)$.
- Set of **causal support**: evidence variables "above" X that are connected through its parents.
- Set of **evidential support**: evidence variables that are "below" X and are connected through its children.
- $E_{U_i|X}$: evidence connected with all U_i nodes, *except* via the edge that goes through X.

- General strategy:
 - Represent $\mathbf{P}(X \mid E)$ in terms of contributions $E_X^+ \in E_X^-$.
 - Compute E_X^+ through its effects on X's parents. Obs: to compute the effects of X's parents can be done recursively.

- idem to E_X^-
- Method: apply Bayes, and other methods such as simplifications (conditional independence).

•
$$\mathbf{P}(X \mid E) = \mathbf{P}(X \mid E_X^-, E_X^+) = \frac{\mathbf{P}(E_X^- \mid X, E_X^+) \mathbf{P}(X \mid E_X^+)}{\mathbf{P}(E_X^- \mid E_X^+)}$$

• As X d-separates E_X^+ from E_X^- , we can use conditional independence to simplify the first term's numerator. We can also use $\frac{1}{\mathbf{P}(E_X^-|E_X^+)}$ as a normalization constant: $\mathbf{P}(X \mid E) = \alpha \mathbf{P}(E_X^- \mid X) \mathbf{P}(X \mid E_X^+)$

•
$$\mathbf{P}(X \mid E_X^+) = \sum_u \mathbf{P}(X \mid \mathbf{u}, E_X^+) P(\mathbf{u} \mid E_X^+)$$

- $\mathbf{P}(X \mid E_X^+) = \sum_u \mathbf{P}(X \mid \mathbf{u}) \prod_i \mathbf{P}(u_i \mid E_X^+)$
- $\mathbf{P}(X \mid E_X^+) = \sum_u \mathbf{P}(X \mid \mathbf{u}) \prod_i \mathbf{P}(u_i \mid E_{U_i \mid X})$

- Let Z_i parents of Y_i and z_i a set of values for Z_i . $\mathbf{P}(E_X^- \mid X) = \prod_i \mathbf{P}(E_{Y_i \mid X} \mid X)$ $\mathbf{P}(E_X^- \mid X) = \prod_i \sum_{y_i} \sum_{z_i} \mathbf{P}(E_{Y_i \mid X} \mid X, y_i, z_i) \mathbf{P}(y_i, z_i \mid X)$
- Decomposing $E_{Y_i|X}$ in two independent components $E_{Y_i}^+$ and $E_{Y_i|X}^ \mathbf{P}(E_X^- \mid X) = \prod_i \sum_{y_i} \sum_{z_i} \mathbf{P}(E_{Y_i}^- \mid X, y_i, z_i) \mathbf{P}(E_{Y_i|X}^+ \mid X, y_i, z_i) \mathbf{P}(y_i, z_i \mid X)$ $\mathbf{P}(E_X^- \mid X) = \prod_i \sum_{y_i} \mathbf{P}(E_{Y_i}^- \mid y_i) \sum_{z_i} \mathbf{P}(E_{Y_i|X}^+ \mid z_i) \mathbf{P}(y_i, z_i \mid X)$

うして ふゆ く は く は く む く し く

• Applying Bayes to $\mathbf{P}(E_{Y_i|X}^+ \mid z_i)$: $\mathbf{P}(X \mid E_X^-) = \prod_i \sum_{y_i} \mathbf{P}(E_{Y_i}^- \mid y_i) \sum_{z_i} \frac{P(z_i \mid E_{Y_i|X}^+) P(E_{Y_i|X}^+)}{P(z_i)} \mathbf{P}(y_i, z_i \mid X)$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(X \mid E_X^-) &= \beta \prod_i \sum_{y_i} P(E_{Y_i}^- \mid y_i) \sum_{z_i} P(y_i \mid X, z_i) \prod_{z_i} P(z_{ij} \mid E_{Z_{ij} \mid Y_i}) \end{aligned}$

うして ふゆ く は く は く む く し く

• $P(E_{Y_i}^- | y_i)$ is a recursive instance of $P(E_X^- | X)$.

...

- $P(y_i \mid X, z_i)$ is taken directly from the CPT of Y_i .
- $P(z_{ij} | E_{Z_{ij}|Y_i})$ is a recursive instance of P(X | E).

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト ヘ

Belief Networks

```
function BELIEF-NET-ASK(X) returns a probability distribution over the values of X
inputs: X, a random variable
```

```
SUPPORT-EXCEPT(X, null)
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} \mbox{function SUPPORT-EXCEPT}(X,V) \mbox{ returns } \mathbf{P}(X \mid E_{X \setminus V}) \\ \mbox{if EVIDENCE}?(X) \mbox{ then return observed point distribution for } X \\ \mbox{else} \\ \mbox{ calculate } \mathbf{P}(E_{X \setminus V}^{-} \mid X) = EVIDENCE-EXCEPT}(X,V) \\ U \leftarrow \text{PARENTS}[X] \\ \mbox{ if } U \mbox{ is empty} \\ \mbox{ then return } \alpha \mbox{ } \mathbf{P}(E_{X \setminus V}^{-} \mid X) \mbox{ P}(X) \\ \mbox{ else} \\ \mbox{ for each } U_i \mbox{ in } U \\ \mbox{ calculate and store } \mathbf{P}(U_i \mid E_{U_i \setminus X}) = \text{SUPPORT-EXCEPT}(U_i,X) \\ \mbox{ return } \alpha \mbox{ } \mathbf{P}(E_{X \setminus V}^{-} \mid X) \sum_{u} \mbox{ } \mathbf{P}(X|u) \prod_{i}^{-} \mathbf{P}(U_i \mid E_{u_i \setminus X}) \end{array}
```

function EVIDENCE-EXCEPT(X, V) returns $\mathbf{P}(E_{X \setminus V} | X)$

```
\begin{split} \mathbf{Y} \leftarrow \text{CHILDREN}[X] &= V \\ \text{if } \mathbf{Y} \text{ is empty} \\ \text{then return a uniform distribution} \\ \text{else} \\ \text{for each } Y_i \text{ in } \mathbf{Y} \text{ do} \\ \text{calculate } \mathbf{P}(E_{Y_i}|y_i) = \text{EVIDENCE-EXCEPT}(Y_i, \text{null}) \\ Z_i \leftarrow \text{PARENTS}[Y_i] &= X \\ \text{for each } Z_{ij} \text{ in } \mathbf{Z}_i \\ \text{calculate } \mathbf{P}(Z_{ij}|Z_{ij}, Y_i) = \text{SUPPORT-EXCEPT}(Z_{ij}, Y_i) \\ \text{return } \beta \prod_{i} \sum_{y_i} P(E_{Y_i}|y_i) \sum_{\mathbf{Z}_i} P(y_i|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{z}_i) \prod_{j} P(z_{ij}|Z_{ij} \setminus_{Y_i}) \end{split}
```

Multiply connected network: two nodes are connected through more than one path in the graph.



- three classes of algorithms:
 - Clustering: transforms the network in a poly-tree probabilistically equivalent, but with different topology.
 - ▶ **Conditioning**: opposite of clustering, transforms the network in several poly-trees by instantiating different values for the random variables. Evaluates each poly-tree for each different instantiation.
 - ► Stochastic Simulation (logical sampling): computes an approximate probability through repeated simulations of the network, observing the frequency of relevant events.
 - ▶ In general: exact inference in belief networks is an NP-hard problem.

Belief Networks



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Belief Networks



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Belief Networks

- Decide about what to talk.
- Decide about the vocabulary and random variables.
- Code the knowledge about dependencies among variables.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

- Code a specific description of the problem.
- Query the system.