A Tabling Engine Designed to Support Mixed-Strategy Evaluation

Ricardo Rocha Fernando Silva {*ricroc,fds*}*@ncc.up.pt* DCC-FC & LIACC, University of Porto, Portugal

Vítor Santos Costa *vitor@cos.ufrj.br* COPPE Systems & LIACC, University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Motivation

► Motivation

- During tabled execution, there are several points where we can choose between continuing forward execution, backtracking, returning answers to consumer nodes, or performing completion.
- The decision on which operation to perform depends on the scheduling strategy.

Motivation

Motivation

- During tabled execution, there are several points where we can choose between continuing forward execution, backtracking, returning answers to consumer nodes, or performing completion.
- The decision on which operation to perform depends on the scheduling strategy.
- A strategy can achieve very good performance for certain applications, but for others it might add overheads and lead to inefficiency [Freire 97].
- Achieving the best performance can be done if we have the ability to use multiple strategies within the same evaluation [Freire, Warren 1997].

Motivation

► Motivation

- During tabled execution, there are several points where we can choose between continuing forward execution, backtracking, returning answers to consumer nodes, or performing completion.
- The decision on which operation to perform depends on the scheduling strategy.
- A strategy can achieve very good performance for certain applications, but for others it might add overheads and lead to inefficiency [Freire 97].
- Achieving the best performance can be done if we have the ability to use multiple strategies within the same evaluation [Freire, Warren 1997].

► Our Goal

 Design a tabling engine that supports simultaneous mixed-strategy evaluation for the two most successful tabling scheduling strategies: batched and local scheduling.

► Basic Execution Model

- Whenever a tabled subgoal is first called, a new entry is allocated in the table space. This entry will collect all the answers generated for the subgoal.
- Variant calls to tabled subgoals are resolved by consuming the answers already stored in the table.
- Meanwhile, as new answers are found, they are inserted into the table and returned to all variant subgoals.

Basic Execution Model

- Whenever a tabled subgoal is first called, a new entry is allocated in the table space. This entry will collect all the answers generated for the subgoal.
- Variant calls to tabled subgoals are resolved by consuming the answers already stored in the table.
- Meanwhile, as new answers are found, they are inserted into the table and returned to all variant subgoals.

Nodes Classification

- Generator: nodes that first call a tabled subgoal.
- Consumer: nodes that consume answers from the table space.
- Interior: nodes that are evaluated by the standard resolution.

Main Operations

Tabled Subgoal Call: checks if the subgoal is in the table, and if not, adds a new entry for it and allocates a new generator node. Otherwise, it allocates a consumer node and starts consuming the available answers.

► Main Operations

- Tabled Subgoal Call: checks if the subgoal is in the table, and if not, adds a new entry for it and allocates a new generator node. Otherwise, it allocates a consumer node and starts consuming the available answers.
- New Answer: verifies whether a newly found answer is already in the table, and if not, inserts it.

Main Operations

- Tabled Subgoal Call: checks if the subgoal is in the table, and if not, adds a new entry for it and allocates a new generator node. Otherwise, it allocates a consumer node and starts consuming the available answers.
- New Answer: verifies whether a newly found answer is already in the table, and if not, inserts it.
- Answer Resolution: is executed everytime the computation reaches a consumer. It consumes the next available answer, and if not any, it suspends the current computation and schedules a possible resolution to continue execution.

Main Operations

- Tabled Subgoal Call: checks if the subgoal is in the table, and if not, adds a new entry for it and allocates a new generator node. Otherwise, it allocates a consumer node and starts consuming the available answers.
- New Answer: verifies whether a newly found answer is already in the table, and if not, inserts it.
- Answer Resolution: is executed everytime the computation reaches a consumer. It consumes the next available answer, and if not any, it suspends the current computation and schedules a possible resolution to continue execution.
 Completion: is executed everytime the computation reaches a generator without untried alternatives. If the subgoal is completely evaluated it closes its table entry and reclaims space. Otherwise, it resumes one of the consumers with unconsumed answers.

- A number of generators may be mutually dependent (strongly connected component) and thus they can only be completed together.
- The youngest generator which does not depend on older generators is called the leader node. A leader node defines the current completion point.

- A number of generators may be mutually dependent (strongly connected component) and thus they can only be completed together.
- The youngest generator which does not depend on older generators is called the leader node. A leader node defines the current completion point.



- A number of generators may be mutually dependent (strongly connected component) and thus they can only be completed together.
- The youngest generator which does not depend on older generators is called the leader node. A leader node defines the current completion point.



- A number of generators may be mutually dependent (strongly connected component) and thus they can only be completed together.
- The youngest generator which does not depend on older generators is called the leader node. A leader node defines the current completion point.



- A number of generators may be mutually dependent (strongly connected component) and thus they can only be completed together.
- The youngest generator which does not depend on older generators is called the leader node. A leader node defines the current completion point.



Batched Scheduling

Batched Scheduling: tries to minimize the need to move around the search tree by batching the return of answers. When new answers are found, they are added to the table and the evaluation continues. Only later, when checking for completion, these answers are returned to the consumers.



Local Scheduling

Local Scheduling: tries to complete subgoals sooner. When new answers are found, they are added to the table and the evaluation fails. Answers are only returned when all alternatives for the subgoal in hand were tried.





Batched x Local Scheduling

► New Answers

In batched when a new answer is found, the evaluation continues. In local, the evaluation fails.

► Generators

In batched a generator without untried alternatives, checks for completion. In local, the generator as also to act like a consumer and start consuming the set of found answers.

Batched x Local Scheduling

New Answers

In batched when a new answer is found, the evaluation continues. In local, the evaluation fails.

► Generators

In batched a generator without untried alternatives, checks for completion. In local, the generator as also to act like a consumer and start consuming the set of found answers.

> Our Approach

- Extend consumer nodes with a new data structure, the dependency frame.
- Consider the whole set of consumers as a single group.
- Avoid a separate completion stack.

Tabled Nodes for Batched Scheduling



Completion for Batched Scheduling

GN is the current leader node ?

- $\blacktriangleright \mathsf{No} \to \mathsf{Backtrack}$
- \blacktriangleright Yes \rightarrow Younger consumer node CN with unconsumed answers ?
 - Yes \rightarrow Resume computation to CN
 - ♦ No → Perform completion
 . → Backtrack

Completion for Batched Scheduling

GN is the current leader node ? GN is younger than TOP_DF Or DepFr_leader(TOP_DF) == GN

 $\blacktriangleright No \rightarrow Backtrack$

 \blacktriangleright Yes \rightarrow Younger consumer node CN with unconsumed answers ?

- Yes → Resume computation to CN
 DepFr_back_leader(CN) = GN
- **\bullet No** \rightarrow Perform completion
 - $. \quad \rightarrow \mathsf{Backtrack}$

Answer Resolution

Unconsumed answers in CN?

 \blacktriangleright Yes \rightarrow Load next answer and proceed

No \rightarrow First time here ?

- $\blacklozenge Yes \rightarrow Backtrack$
- No \rightarrow Resume computation to the younger node of
 - * Last leader where completion was executed
 - * Previous consumer PN with unconsumed answers

Answer Resolution

Unconsumed answers in CN?

 \blacktriangleright Yes \rightarrow Load next answer and proceed

- No → First time here ?
 . DepFr_back_leader(CN) == NULL
 - $\blacklozenge Yes \rightarrow Backtrack$
 - \bullet No \rightarrow Resume computation to the younger node of
 - * Last leader where completion was executed DepFr_back_leader(CN)
 - * Previous consumer PN with unconsumed answers
 DepFr_back_leader(PN) = DepFr_back_leader(CN)

Tabled Nodes for Local Scheduling



Completion for Local Scheduling

GN is the current leader node ?

 \blacktriangleright No \rightarrow Load first answer and proceed

 \blacktriangleright Yes \rightarrow Younger consumer node CN with unconsumed answers ?

- **\bullet Yes** \rightarrow Resume computation to CN
- No \rightarrow Perform completion
 - . $\ \rightarrow$ Load first answer and proceed

Completion for Local Scheduling

GN is the current leader node ? DepFr_leader(TOP_DF) == GN

▶ No → Load first answer and proceed . $CP_alt(GN) = answer_resolution$

 \blacktriangleright Yes \rightarrow Younger consumer node CN with unconsumed answers ?

- Yes → Resume computation to CN
 <u>DepFr_back_leader(CN)</u> = GN
- **\bullet No** \rightarrow Perform completion
 - . \rightarrow Load first answer and proceed
 - **CP_alt(GN)** = answer_resolution

Discussion

> Currently, we have already batched and local scheduling functioning separately.

Discussion

> Currently, we have already batched and local scheduling functioning separately.

> To support mixed-strategy only two new features have to be addressed:

- Support strategy-specific Prolog declarations like :- batched path/2. and
 local path/2. to define the strategy to be used for a predicate.
- Accordingly to the declared strategy generate appropriate tabling instructions, such as batched_new_answer/local_new_answer and batched_completion/local_completion.

Discussion

> Currently, we have already batched and local scheduling functioning separately.

> To support mixed-strategy only two new features have to be addressed:

- Support strategy-specific Prolog declarations like :- batched path/2. and
 local path/2. to define the strategy to be used for a predicate.
- Accordingly to the declared strategy generate appropriate tabling instructions, such as batched_new_answer/local_new_answer and batched_completion/local_completion.

> YapTab can also be easily extended to support:

- Dynamic switching of strategy at the predicate level.
- Mixed-strategy evaluation at the subgoal level (per generator).
- Dynamic switching from batched to local scheduling, while a generator is still producing new answers.

Further Work

- > Adjust the system to support mixed-strategy evaluation.
- Use a set of common tabled benchmarks to investigate and study the impact of combining both strategies for tabled evaluation.