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## 1 Abstract

Finite automata public-key cryptosystems rely upon characterizations of some types of invertible finite automata, and methods of obtain them as well as their respective inverses. In this paper we provide a much needed clarification of Tao's formalization and basic results on the subject, as well as a new condition for a linear finite automata with memory to be weakly invertible with delay $\tau$. This last result, employing an approach with formal series, uses the Smith's normal form of a polynomial matrix. The proof of the results presented here provides a new way to construct an inverse with delay $\tau$ of an invertible linear finite automata.

## 2 Introduction

In 1985 by R. Tao and S. Chen, in [TC85], introduced a public-key crypto-system based on finite automata. Their basic idea was to use invertible automata for which explicit inverses are known, but such that an inverse of the composition of the two automata was computationally unfeasible to compute. Later on some weakness where found on this system, and some slightly more sofisticated ones were proposed [TC86, Gao94, BI95, RT97, TC97]. These systems are ultimately based on some results used to characterize invertible linear finite automata, and, specially, some techniques to compute an invertible linear automata together with one of its inverses [Tao73]. These techniques were then extended to some other kinds of automata [CT92, TC95, TC00].

In this report, after introducing the basic concepts about finite automata, we describe the several types of invertible automata studied by R. Tao. We then focus our attention on linear automata, and we use formal power series to characterize invertible linear finite automata.

## 3 Basic concepts on automata and invertible automata

As usual, for a finite set $X$, we denote by $X^{n}$ the set of words of length $n$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, and $X^{0}=\{\varepsilon\}$, where $\varepsilon$ denotes the empty word. We will also use $X^{*}=\cup_{n \geq 0} X^{n}$, the set of all finite words, and $X^{\omega}$ will denote the set of infinite words.

Definition 3.1. A finite automata is a quintuple $\langle X, Y, S, \delta, \lambda\rangle$, where:

- $X$ is a nonempty finite set called the input alphabet of the finite automaton;
- $Y$ is a nonempty finite set called the output alphabet of the finite automaton;
- $S$ is a nonempty finite set called the set of states of the finite automaton;
- $\delta$ is a function from $S \times X$ to $S$ called the state transition function of the finite automaton;
- $\lambda$ is a function from $S \times X$ to $Y$ called the output function.

Let $M=\langle X, Y, S, \delta, \lambda\rangle$ be a finite automaton. The state transition function $\delta$ and the output function $\lambda$ can be extended to words, i.e. elements of $X^{*}$, recursively, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(s, \varepsilon) & =s \\
\delta\left(s, x_{0} x_{1} \ldots x_{n}\right) & =\delta\left(\delta\left(s, x_{0}\right), x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}\right) \\
\lambda(s, \varepsilon) & =\varepsilon \\
\lambda\left(s, x_{0} x_{1} \ldots x_{n}\right) & =\lambda\left(\delta\left(s, x_{0}\right), x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s \in S, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_{0} x_{1} \cdots x_{n} \in X^{n+1}$. In an analogous way, $\lambda$ may be extended to $X^{\omega}$. From these definitions it follows that one has, for all $s \in S, \alpha \in X^{*}$, and for all $\beta \in X^{*} \cup X^{\omega}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(s, \alpha \beta)=\lambda(s, \alpha) \lambda(\delta(s, \alpha), \beta) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

An important class of finite automata, providing an infinite number of examples, is given by the following:

Definition 3.2. Let $f: X^{h+1} \times Y^{k} \longrightarrow Y$, with $h, k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $X, Y$ two nonempty finite sets. The finite automaton with $(h, k)$-order memory determined by $f$ is the automaton $M_{f}=\left\langle X, Y, X^{h} \times Y^{k}, \delta_{f}, \lambda_{f}\right\rangle$ defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{f}\left(<x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{h}, y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{k}>, x\right) & =f\left(x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{h} x, y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{k}\right)=: y \\
\delta_{f}\left(<x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{h}, y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{k}>, x\right) & =<x_{2} \ldots x_{h} x, y_{2} \ldots y_{k} y>
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $y_{1} \ldots y_{k} \in Y^{k}$ and $x_{0} x_{1} \ldots x_{h} x \in X^{h+1}$. When $k=0, M_{f}$ is called the finite automaton with $h$-order input memory determined by $f$. When $h=0, M_{f}$ is called the finite automaton with $k$-order output memory determined by $f$. And, we will say that a finite automaton $M$ is a finite automaton with $(h, k)$-order memory if $M=M_{f}$ for some function $f: X^{h+1} \times Y^{k} \longrightarrow Y$.

A central notion, essential for cryptographic purposes, is the notion of invertibility. We start with a concept related to the determination of the inputs by the outputs.

Definition 3.3. A finite automaton $M=\langle X, Y, S, \delta, \lambda\rangle$ is said to be invertible with delay $\tau$, where $\tau \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, if $\forall s, s^{\prime} \in S, \forall x, x^{\prime} \in X, \forall \alpha, \alpha^{\prime} \in X^{\tau}$,

$$
\lambda(s, x \alpha)=\lambda\left(s^{\prime}, x^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}\right) \Longrightarrow x=x^{\prime}
$$

That is, for any $s \in S$ and $\alpha \in X^{\tau}, x$ can be uniquely determined by $\lambda(s, x \alpha)$.
Invertible automata should have inverses of some sort. The following definition introduces the apropriate concept, that we will see is closely related to the previous one.

Definition 3.4. Let $M=\langle X, Y, S, \delta, \lambda\rangle, M^{\prime}=\left\langle Y, X, S^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right\rangle$ be two finite automata. A pair of states $\left(s^{\prime}, s\right) \in S^{\prime} \times S$ is said to be a match pair with delay $\tau$ if the following condition holds

$$
\forall \alpha \in X^{\omega}, \exists \gamma \in X^{\tau}: \lambda^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, \lambda(s, \alpha)\right)=\gamma \alpha .
$$

Remark: In the previous definition one may replace $X^{\omega}$ by $X^{*}$, but then one must take into account that on the right one only gets the first $|\alpha|-\tau$ characters of $\alpha$.

Proposition 3.5. If $\left(s^{\prime}, s\right)$ is a match pair with delay $\tau$ and $\beta=\lambda(s, \alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in X^{*}$, then $\left(\delta^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, \beta\right), \delta(s, \alpha)\right)$ is also a match pair with delay $\tau$.

Proof. Assume that $\left(s^{\prime}, s\right)$ is a match pair with delay $\tau$, and let $\beta=\lambda(s, \alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in X^{*}$. Let $\alpha^{\prime} \in X^{\omega}$. By (1), one has:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, \lambda\left(s, \alpha \alpha^{\prime}\right)\right) & =\lambda^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, \beta \lambda\left(\delta(s, \alpha), \alpha^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\lambda^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, \beta\right) \lambda^{\prime}\left(\delta\left(s^{\prime}, \beta\right), \lambda\left(\delta(s, \alpha), \alpha^{\prime}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left(s^{\prime}, s\right)$ is a match pair with delay $\tau, \exists \alpha_{1} \in X^{\tau}$ such that $\lambda^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, \lambda\left(s, \alpha \alpha^{\prime}\right)\right)=\alpha_{1} \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$. Therefore, $\alpha_{1} \alpha \alpha^{\prime}=\gamma \alpha^{\prime}$, where $\gamma \in X^{\tau+|\alpha|}$.

But, $\lambda^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, \beta\right) \in X^{|\alpha|}$. So, $\lambda^{\prime}\left(\delta\left(s^{\prime}, \lambda(s, \alpha), \lambda\left(\delta(s, \alpha), \alpha^{\prime}\right)\right)=\phi \alpha^{\prime}\right.$, for some $\phi \in X^{\tau}$. That is, $\left(\delta\left(s^{\prime}, \beta\right), \delta(s, \alpha)\right)$ is a match pair with delay $\tau$.

Definition 3.6. $M^{\prime}$ is called an inverse with delay $\tau$ of $M$, if $\forall s \in S$ and $\forall s^{\prime} \in S^{\prime},\left(s^{\prime}, s\right)$ is a match pair with delay $\tau . M^{\prime}$ is called an inverse with delay $\tau$, if $M^{\prime}$ is an inverse with delay $\tau$ of some finite automaton. $M^{\prime}$ is called an inverse, if $M^{\prime}$ is an inverse with delay $\tau$, for some $\tau$.

Part of the important role of the automata determined by a function as defined above, in definition 3.2 , is revealed by the following result.

Theorem 3.7. If $M$ is invertible with delay $\tau$, then there exists a finite automaton with $\tau$-order input memory $M_{f}$ that is an inverse with delay $\tau$ of $M$.

Proof. Suppose that $M=\langle X, Y, S, \delta, \lambda\rangle$ is invertible automaton with delay $\tau$. Then $\forall s \in$ $S, \forall x \in X, \forall \alpha \in X^{\tau}, x$ can be uniquely determined by the value of $\lambda(s, x \alpha)$. Let $f: Y^{\tau+1} \longrightarrow$ $X$ be the function defined in the following way: if $\exists s \in S, \exists x \in X, \exists \alpha \in X^{\tau}: y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{\tau}=$ $\lambda(s, x \alpha)$, then $f$ is defined at $y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{\tau}$ by $f\left(y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{\tau}\right)=x$; otherwise one defines $f$ arbitrarily. Let $M_{f}=\left\langle Y, X, Y^{\tau}, \delta_{f}, \lambda_{f}\right\rangle$ be the finite automaton with $\tau$-order input memory determined by $f$. To prove the claimed result, one must show that, for all $y_{1} \ldots y_{\tau} \in Y^{\tau}$, for all $s \in S$ and for all $\alpha=x_{0} x_{1} x_{1} \cdots \in X^{\omega}$, there exists an $\gamma \in X^{\tau}$, such that

$$
\lambda_{f}\left(y_{1} \ldots y_{\tau}, \lambda(s, \alpha)\right)=\gamma \alpha
$$

Putting:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0} & =s, \quad s_{i+1}=\delta\left(s_{i}, x_{i}\right) \\
z_{i} & =\lambda\left(s_{i}, x_{i}\right) \\
\alpha_{i} & =x_{i} x_{i+1} x_{i+2} \ldots \\
x_{i}^{\prime} & =f\left(y_{i} \ldots y_{\tau} z_{0} \ldots z_{i-1}\right) \\
\gamma & =x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} \ldots x_{\tau}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

one has that $\lambda(s, \alpha)=z_{0} z_{1} z_{2} \ldots$, and (1) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{f}\left(y_{1} \ldots y_{\tau}, \lambda(s, \alpha)\right) & =\lambda_{f}\left(y_{1} \ldots y_{\tau}, z_{0}\right) \lambda_{f}\left(y_{2} \ldots y_{\tau} z_{0}, \lambda\left(s_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =x_{1}^{\prime} \lambda_{f}\left(y_{2} \ldots y_{\tau} z_{0}, \lambda\left(s_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} \lambda_{f}\left(y_{3} \ldots y_{\tau} z_{0} z_{1}, \lambda\left(s_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\ldots \\
& =x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} \ldots x_{\tau}^{\prime} \lambda_{f}\left(z_{0} z_{1} \ldots z_{\tau-1}, \lambda\left(s_{\tau}, \alpha_{\tau}\right)\right) \\
& =\gamma \lambda_{f}\left(z_{0} z_{1} \ldots z_{\tau-1}, z_{\tau}\right) \lambda_{f}\left(z_{1} z_{1} \ldots z_{\tau}, \lambda\left(s_{\tau+1}, \alpha_{\tau+1}\right)\right) \\
& =\ldots \\
& =\gamma f\left(z_{0} z_{1} \ldots z_{\tau-1} z_{\tau}\right) f\left(z_{1} z_{2} \ldots z_{\tau} z_{\tau+1}\right) \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

But $z_{i} z_{i+1} \ldots z_{i+\tau}=\lambda\left(s_{i}, x_{i} x_{i+1} \ldots x_{i+\tau}\right)$, and therefore it follows from the definition of $f$ that $f\left(z_{i} z_{i+1} \ldots z_{i+\tau}\right)=x_{i}$, which finishes the proof.

It immediately follows that

Corollary 3.8. $M$ is invertible with delay $\tau$ if and only if there exists a finite automaton $M^{\prime}$ such that $M^{\prime}$ is an inverse with delay $\tau$ of $M$.

A weaker form of invertibility is described in the following definition.
Definition 3.9. A finite automaton $M=\langle X, Y, S, \delta, \lambda\rangle$ is said to be weakly invertible with delay $\tau$, with $\tau \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, if

$$
\forall s \in S, \forall x_{0} \ldots x_{\tau}, x_{0}^{\prime} \ldots x_{\tau} \in X^{\tau+1}, \lambda\left(s, x_{0} \ldots x_{\tau}\right)=\lambda\left(s, x_{0}^{\prime} \ldots x_{\tau}^{\prime}\right) \Longrightarrow x_{0}=x_{0}^{\prime}
$$

That is, for any $s \in S$, and any $x_{i} \in X$, with $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, \tau\}$, $x_{0}$ can be uniquely determined by $s$ and $\lambda\left(s, x_{0} x_{1} \ldots x_{\tau}\right)$.

Definition 3.10. Let $M=<X, Y, S, \delta, \lambda>$ and $M^{\prime}=<X, Y, S^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}>$ be two finite automata. $M^{\prime}$ is called a weak inverse with delay $\tau$ of $M$, if $\forall s \in S, \exists s^{\prime} \in S$ such that ( $s^{\prime}, s$ ) is a match pair with delay $\tau . M^{\prime}$ is called a weak inverse with delay $\tau$, if $M^{\prime}$ is a weak inverse with delay $\tau$ of some finite automaton. $M^{\prime}$ is called $a$ weak inverse, if $M^{\prime}$ is a weak inverse with delay $\tau$ for some $\tau$.

For weakly invertible automata a result entirely similar to theorem 3.7 and its corollary can be stated. In particular, one has:

Theorem 3.11. $M$ is weakly invertible with delay $\tau$ if and only if there exists a finite automaton $M^{\prime}$ such that $M^{\prime}$ is a weak inverse with delay $\tau$ of $M$.

Proof. See [Tao09].

## 4 Invertibility with delay $\tau$ of linear finite automata

Definition 4.1. If $X, Y$ and $S$ are vector spaces over a field $\mathbb{F}$, then a finite automaton $M=\langle X, Y, S, \delta, \lambda\rangle$ is said to be linear over $\mathbb{F}$ when both $\delta: S \times X \rightarrow S$ and $\lambda: S \times X \rightarrow Y$ are linear maps.

If $X, Y$ and $S$ have dimensions $l, m$ and $n$, respectively, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta(s, x)=A s+B x, \\
& \lambda(s, x)=C s+D x,
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $n \times n$ matrix $A, n \times l$ matrix $\mathrm{B}, m \times n$ matrix $C$, and $m \times l$ matrix D , and where $s \in S, x \in X$. The matrices $A, B, C, D$ are called the structural matrices of the finite automaton, and $l, m, n$ are called structural parameters of the finite automaton.

Let $M=\langle X, Y, S, \delta, \lambda\rangle$ be a linear finite automaton over a finite field $\mathbb{F}$, with structure matrices $A, B, C, D$. For any $s_{0} \in S$ and $x_{0} x_{1} \cdots \in X^{\omega}$, let:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{t+1}=A s_{t}+B x_{t}, \quad t=0,1, \ldots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}=C s_{t}+D x_{t}, \quad t=0,1, \ldots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times \ell}, C \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$, and $D \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times \ell}$.
The following result is presented in [Tao73] without proof, and in [Tao09] with a proof by induction. Here we present a more conceptual proof using formal series, that can be seen as a preliminary to the approach that will be presented in section 5 .

Theorem 4.2. For all $t \geq 0$ one has, for all $s_{0} \in S$ and $x_{0} x_{1} \ldots x_{t} \in X^{t+1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{t}=A^{t} s_{0}+\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} A^{t-1-i} B x_{i}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}=C A^{t} s_{0}+\sum_{i=0}^{t} H_{t-i} x_{i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{0}=D, H_{j}=C A^{j-1} B, j>0$.
Proof. Multiplying both sides of equation (2) by $z^{t+1}$, and adding all the equations, for $t \geq 0$, in the ring of formal series $\mathbb{F}[[z]]$, one obtains:

$$
\sum_{t \geq 0} s_{t+1} z^{t+1}=\sum_{t \geq 0} A s_{t} z^{t+1}+\sum_{t \geq 0} B x_{t} z^{t+1}
$$

or

$$
\sum_{t \geq 0} s_{t} z^{t}-s_{0}=z \sum_{t \geq 0} A s_{t} z^{t}+z \sum_{t \geq 0} B x_{t} z^{t}
$$

which yields

$$
(I-A z) S(z)=s_{0}+z B X(z),
$$

where $S(z)=\sum_{t \geq 0} s_{t} z^{t}$, and $X(z)=\sum_{t \geq 0} x_{t} z^{t}$.
Since $1-A z$ is invertible in $\mathbb{F}[[z]]$, and $(1-A z)^{-1}=\sum_{n \geq 0} A^{n} z^{n}$, one gets:

$$
S(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} A^{n} s_{0} z^{n}+\sum_{n \geq 0} A^{n} B X(z) z^{n+1},
$$

which gives (4).
Now, if one multiplies both sides of equation (3) by $z^{t}$, and adds all the equations, for $t \geq 0$, in the ring of formal series $\mathbb{F}[[z]]$, one obtains:

$$
Y(z)=C S(z)+D X(z) .
$$

Substituing $S(z)$ on this equation, one gets:

$$
Y(z)=C\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} A^{n} s_{0} z^{n}+\sum_{n \geq 0} A^{n} B X(z) z^{n+1}\right)+D X(z),
$$

which is equivalent to:

$$
Y(z)=C \sum_{n \geq 0} A^{n} s_{0} z^{n}+\left(C \sum_{n \geq 0} A^{n} B z^{n+1}+D\right) X(z)
$$

This proves the validity of (5).

We can rewrite equation (5) as:

$$
Y_{t}=G_{t} V_{t},
$$

where, using $A^{T}$ to denote the transpose of a matrix $A$,

$$
Y_{t}=\left[y_{t}, \ldots, y_{1}, y_{0}\right]^{T}, \quad V_{t}=\left[x_{t}, \ldots, x_{0}, s_{0}\right]^{T}
$$

and

$$
G_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
H_{0} & H_{1} & \cdots & H_{t-1} & H_{t} & C A^{t} \\
0 & H_{0} & \cdots & H_{t-2} & H_{t-1} & C A^{t-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & H_{0} & H_{1} & C A \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & H_{0} & C
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Set $J_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}H_{t} & H_{t-1} & \cdots & H_{0}\end{array}\right]^{T}$, and set

$$
K_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
H_{0} & H_{1} & \cdots & H_{t-1} & C A^{t} \\
0 & H_{0} & \cdots & H_{t-2} & C A^{t-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & H_{0} & C A \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & C
\end{array}\right]
$$

The following result gives a condition for a linear finite automaton to be invertible with delay $\tau \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. This result appears in [Tao73, Tao09], without proof.

Theorem 4.3. $M$ is invertible with delay $\tau$ if and only if

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(K_{\tau}\right)+\ell
$$

Proof. In what follows, we will denote the space generated by the columns of a matrix $A$ by $\langle A\rangle$. The present result can be shown by proving the following equivalences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M \text { invertible with delay } \tau & \stackrel{\Longleftrightarrow(A)}{\Longleftrightarrow} \forall V_{\tau} \in X^{\tau+1} \times S \quad G_{\tau} V_{\tau}=0 \Longrightarrow x_{0}=0 \\
& \stackrel{(B)}{\Longleftrightarrow} \operatorname{dim}\left(<J_{\tau}>\right)=\ell \wedge \quad<J_{\tau}>\cap<K_{\tau}>=0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \Longleftrightarrow(C) \\
& \operatorname{rank}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(K_{\tau}\right)+\ell
\end{aligned}
$$

The first equivalence is immediate from definition 3.3, and the fact that here $\lambda\left(s_{0}, x_{0} x_{1} \ldots x_{t}\right)$ is essentially equal to $G_{t} V_{t}$.
Now, let us prove the only if part of equivalence (B). Assume that $G_{\tau} V_{\tau}=0$, and that $\left.\operatorname{dim}\left(<J_{\tau}\right\rangle\right)=\ell$ and $\left.\left\langle J_{\tau}\right\rangle \cap<K_{\tau}\right\rangle=0$. One has,

$$
G_{\tau} V_{\tau}=0 \Longleftrightarrow K_{\tau}\left[x_{\tau} x_{\tau-1} \cdots x_{1} s_{0}\right]^{T}=-J_{\tau}\left[x_{0}\right]
$$

Since, $\left.\left\langle J_{\tau}\right\rangle \cap<K_{\tau}\right\rangle=0$, that gives:

$$
K_{\tau}\left[x_{\tau} x_{\tau-1} \cdots x_{1} s_{0}\right]^{T}=0 \quad \wedge \quad J_{\tau}\left[x_{0}\right]=0
$$

and from $\left.\operatorname{dim}\left(<J_{\tau}\right\rangle\right)=\ell$, one obtains $x_{0}=0$.
To prove the if part of equivalence (B), one proves that

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(<J_{\tau}>\right) \neq \ell \quad \vee \quad<J_{\tau}>\cap<K_{\tau}>\neq 0 \Longrightarrow \exists V_{\tau} G_{\tau} V_{\tau}=0 \wedge x_{0} \neq 0
$$

First, let us assume that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\left\langle J_{\tau}\right\rangle\right) \neq \ell$, that is,

$$
\exists x_{0} \neq 0: J_{\tau}\left[x_{0}\right]=0 .
$$

This implies

$$
\exists V_{\tau}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & \cdots & 0 & x_{0}
\end{array} 0\right]^{T}: G_{\tau} V_{\tau}=0 \wedge x_{0} \neq 0
$$

Now, let us assume that $<J_{\tau}>\cap<K_{\tau}>\neq 0$. This implies that

$$
\exists\left[x_{t} \cdots x_{1} s_{0}\right]^{T} \neq 0, x_{0} \neq 0: K_{\tau}\left[x_{t} \cdots x_{1} s_{0}\right]^{T}=J_{\tau} x_{0}
$$

which yields $V_{\tau}=\left[x_{t} \cdots x_{1}\left(-x_{0}\right) s_{0}\right]^{T}$ with $G_{\tau} V_{\tau}=0 \wedge x_{0} \neq 0$.
To prove the only if part of the last equivalence, suppose that $\operatorname{rank}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=\ell+\operatorname{rank}\left(K_{\tau}\right)$. Since $<G_{\tau}>=<J_{\tau}>\cup<K_{\tau}>$, and $J_{\tau} \in \mathcal{M}_{m(\tau+1) \times l}$, one has $\operatorname{rank}\left(J_{\tau}\right)=\ell$. Consequently, $\left.\left\langle J_{\tau}\right\rangle \cap<K_{\tau}\right\rangle=0$.

Finally, to deal with the if part of the last equivalence, assume that one has $\operatorname{dim}\left(\left\langle J_{\tau}\right\rangle\right)=\ell$, $\left\langle J_{\tau}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle K_{\tau}\right\rangle=0$, and that $\left\langle G_{\tau}\right\rangle=\left\langle J_{\tau}\right\rangle \cup\left\langle K_{\tau}\right\rangle$. Then,

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(<G_{\tau}>\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(<J_{\tau}>\right)+\operatorname{dim}\left(<K_{\tau}>\right),
$$

and therefore,

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left(G_{\tau}\right)=\ell+\operatorname{rank}\left(K_{\tau}\right)
$$

There is an analogous condition for a linear finite automaton to be weakly invertible with delay $\tau$. Let, $X_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}x_{t} x_{t-1} & \cdots & x_{0}\end{array}\right]^{T}$,

$$
K_{t}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
H_{0} & H_{1} & \cdots & H_{t-1} \\
0 & H_{0} & \cdots & H_{t-2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & H_{0} \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right] \text {, and } G_{t}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
H_{0} & H_{1} & \cdots & H_{t-1} & H_{t} \\
0 & H_{0} & \cdots & H_{t-2} & H_{t-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & H_{0} & H_{1} \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & H_{0}
\end{array}\right] \text {. }
$$

One then has

Theorem 4.4. $M$ is weakly invertible with delay $\tau$ if and only if

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left(G_{\tau}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(K_{\tau}^{\prime}\right)+\ell
$$

## 5 An approach envolving formal series

Let $M$ be a linear finite automata over a unitary ring $R$ defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t+\tau}=\sum_{i=0}^{\tau-1} a_{i} y_{t+i}+\sum_{j=0}^{\tau} b_{j} x_{t+j} \quad(t \geq 0) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{j} \in R$, for $i \in\{0, \cdots, \tau-1\}$ and $j \in\{0, \cdots, \tau\}$.
If one multiplies (6) by $z^{t+\tau}$, and adds all the equations, for $t \geq 0$, in the ring of formal series $R[[z]]$, one obtains:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t \geq 0} y_{t+\tau} z^{t+\tau} & =\sum_{t \geq 0}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\tau-1} a_{i} y_{t+i}+\sum_{j=0}^{\tau} b_{j} x_{t+j}\right) z^{t+\tau} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{\tau-1} a_{i} \sum_{t \geq 0} y_{t+i} z^{t+\tau}+\sum_{j=0}^{\tau} b_{j} \sum_{t \geq 0} x_{t+j} z^{t+\tau} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{\tau-1} a_{i} z^{\tau-i} \sum_{t \geq 0} y_{t+i} z^{t+i}+\sum_{j=0}^{\tau} b_{j} z^{\tau-j} \sum_{t \geq 0} x_{t+j} z^{t+j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{t \geq 0} y_{t} z^{t}-\sum_{k=0}^{\tau-1} y_{k} z^{k}= \\
& \quad=\sum_{i=0}^{\tau-1} a_{i} z^{\tau-i}\left(\sum_{t \geq 0} y_{t} z^{t}-\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} y_{k} z^{k}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{\tau} b_{j} z^{\tau-j}\left(\sum_{t \geq 0} x_{t} z^{t}-\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} x_{k} z^{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting,

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(z)=1-\sum_{i=0}^{\tau-1} a_{i} z^{\tau-i}, \quad Y(z)=\sum_{t \geq 0} y_{t} z^{t} \\
g(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{\tau} b_{j} z^{\tau-j}, \quad X(z)=\sum_{t \geq 0} x_{t} z^{t} \\
r(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\tau-1} y_{k} z^{k}-\sum_{i=0}^{\tau-1} a_{i} z^{\tau-i}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} y_{k} z^{k}\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{\tau} b_{j} z^{\tau-j}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} x_{k} z^{k}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

we can rewrite the equality above as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z) Y(z)-g(z) X(z)=r(z) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $f(0)=1$, and that the polynomial $r(z)$ depends on the initial state of the automaton (cf. definition 3.2).

Remark: It is easy to see that, conversely, an equation of this form defines a linear automaton, for any $f(z), g(z) \in R[z]$ with $f(0)=1$, and where $r(z)$ denotes a polynomial which varies with the initial values of the input and of the output, and whose degree is less than the maximum of the degrees of $f$ and $g$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $R$ be an unitary ring. A linear automaton given by $f Y-g X=r$ with $f, g, r \in R[z]$ and $f(0)=1$ satisfies an equation of the form $a Y-z^{\tau} X=b$, for some $a, b \in R[z]$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists h \in R[z]: h g=z^{\tau} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The if part is straightforward: one just needs to take $a=h f$ and $b=h r$. To prove the only if part, assume that an automaton given by $f Y-g X=r$ with $f, g, r \in R[z]$ and $f(0)=1$ satisfies an equation of the form $a Y-z^{\tau} X=b$, for some $a, b \in R[z]$.

Since $f(0)=1, f$ has an inverse, $f^{-1} \in R[[z]]$, and from $f Y-g X=r$ one obtains $Y=$ $f^{-1} r+f^{-1} g X$. Substituing in the second equation, one gets:

$$
\left(a f^{-1} g-z^{\tau}\right) X=b-a f^{-1} r
$$

Since one may freely choose the initial state and the input sequence, taking the initial state as being $\mathbf{0}$, and a non-zero input sequence $X=\sum_{t \geq \tau} x_{t} z^{t}$, one obtains

$$
\left(a f^{-1} g-z^{\tau}\right) X=0
$$

Consequently,

$$
a f^{-1} g=z^{\tau}
$$

It then follows that $a f^{-1} r=b$, which means that

$$
a f^{-1} r \in R[z]
$$

for all possible polynomials $r(z)$. Choosing, as we may, the initial values such that $y_{\tau-1}=1$ and all other zero, so that $r(z)=z^{\tau-1}$, one sees that one must have $a f^{-1} \in R[z]$. Therefore,

$$
\exists h \in R[z]: h g=z^{\tau}
$$

which finishes the proof.

In what follows, we denote by $\mathcal{M}(R)$ the set of all matrices, of any dimensions, over the ring $R$.

Lema 5.2. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field, and $G \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}[z])$. Then,

$$
\exists H \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}[z]): H G=z^{\tau} I \Longleftrightarrow d \mid z^{\tau}
$$

where $d$ is the elementary divisor with the highest degree of $G$ in Smith's normal form ${ }^{1}$, and $I$ is the appropriate identity matrix.

Proof. Let $G \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}[z])$. Since $\mathbb{F}[z]$ is a principal ideal domain, there exist $U, V \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}[z])$, matrices with the appropriate dimensions, such that $D=U G V$ is the Smith's normal form of $G$. One then has,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\exists H \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}[z]): H G=z^{\tau} I & \Longleftrightarrow \exists H \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}[z]): H U^{-1} U G V=z^{\tau} V \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \exists H \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}[z]): H U^{-1} D=V z^{\tau} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \exists H \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}[z]): V^{-1} H U^{-1} D=z^{\tau} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \exists H=\left(h_{i j}\right)_{i, j} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}[z]): H D=z^{\tau} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \forall \forall_{i, j} \exists h_{i, j} \in \mathbb{F}[z]:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h_{i j}=0, \quad \text { if } i \neq j \\
h_{i i} d_{i}=z^{\tau},
\end{array}\right. \\
& \Longleftrightarrow d \mid z^{\tau},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d_{i}$ are the elementary divisors of $G$, and $d$ is the one with the highest degree.
Since a matrix polynomial $g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F})[z]$ is essentially the same thing as a polynomial matrix, from the above results one gets:

Theorem 5.3. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field. An automaton given by $f Y-g X=r$ with $f, g, r \in \mathbb{F}[z]$ and $f(0)=1$ satisfies an equation of the form $a Y-z^{\tau} X=b$, for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}[z]$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mid z^{\tau} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ is the elementary divisor with the highest degree of $G$, and $G$ is the polynomial matrix that corresponds to $g$.

Corollary 5.4. Let $M$ be an automaton given by the equation $f Y-g X=r$ with $f, g, r \in \mathbb{F}[z]$ and $f(0)=1$, where $\mathbb{F}$ is any field. If the greatest elementary divisor of $g$ divides $z^{\tau}$, for some $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$, then $M$ is weakly invertible with delay $\tau$.

[^0]
## 6 Conclusion

The techniques to construct an invertible finite automaton and find one of its inverses have two fundamental applications: they are used to construct the pairs of keys necessary for encryption, decryption and signature, and also can be used to attack the existent cryptographic systems based on finite automata.

The approach presented on section 5 gives a condition to verify if a linear finite automaton with memory is weakly invertible with delay $\tau$, using the Smith's normal form of a polynomial matrix. The results therein shown can also be used to construct an inverse with delay $\tau$ of an invertible automaton. Since there are algorithms that compute the Smith's normal form of polynomial matrices on deterministic polynomial time [Vil95], those results seem very promising for cryptographic uses.
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