#### Clinical Decision Support Systems, 23/24

Inês Dutra and Pedro Rodrigues DCC-FCUP & MEDCIDS-FMUP ines@dcc.fc.up.pt, pprodrigues@med.up.pt

March 1st, 2024

Inês Dutra

March 1st, 2024

- Logic Programming: knowledge representation (KR) + programming (program = logic + control)
- $\bullet$  Logic Programming: usually based on a subset of first-order logic  $\rightarrow$  Horn clauses
- Horn clauses allow implications with **at most one positive** literal in the consequent
- This subset allows for a more efficient inference procedure: **linear** resolution (by Robinson)
- From KR point-of-view: easy way of representing **relations** and **relational** data
- **Probabilistic** logic programming: adding probabilities to logic programming

## Knowledge Representation in Logic Programming

• Classical and popular example of KR in logic programming (using the Prolog syntax): family tree

mother(beryl,carol). mother(carol,john).
father(arthur, carol).
parent(X,Y) :- mother(X,Y); father(X,Y).
grandparent(X,Z) :- parent(X,Y), parent(Y,Z).

• In Prolog:

- :- implication ( $\leftarrow$ )
  - , conjunction (and)
  - ; disjunction (or)
- first letter uppercase: logical variable
- first letter lowercase: constant (atom, predicate, literal, argument)

- Practice with the family tree
  - Go to the <u>swish</u> webpage
  - Click in the "Program" tab
  - copy the family tree program to the swish editor area
  - try queries according to list ex1

(Source: https://logic-data-science.github.io/Slides/DeRaedt.pdf - excellent presentation by de Raedt and Kimmig)

# A key question in AI: Dealing with uncertainty Reasoning with relational data Learning





Statistical relational learning, probabilistic logic learning, probabilistic programming, ...



Statistical relational learning, probabilistic logic learning, probabilistic programming, ...

10

Inês Dutra

8 / 57

#### The (Incomplete) SRL Alphabet Soup



De Raedt, Kersting, Natarajan, Poole: Statistical Relational AI

п	~	5	
	nec	- 1- 31	utra
u	1103		

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

#### The (Incomplete) SRL Alphabet Soup



- ∢ ∃ →

# Probabilistic Logic Programs

- devised by Poole and Sato in the 90s.
- built on top of the programming language Prolog
- upgrade directed graphical models
  - combines the advantages / expressive power of programming languages (Turing equivalent) and graphical models
- Generalises probabilistic databases (Suciu et al.)
- Implementations include: PRISM, ICL, ProbLog, LPADs, CPlogic, Dyna, Pita, DC, ...

13













< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >



- toss (biased) coin & draw ball from each urn
- win if (heads and a red ball) or (two balls of same color)

#### ProbLog by example:

# A bit of gambling



- toss (biased) coin & draw ball from each urn
- win if (heads and a red ball) or (two balls of same color)

probabilistic fact: heads is true with probability 0.4 (and false with 0.6)

0.4 :: heads.

#### ProbLog by example:

# A bit of gambling



- toss (biased) coin & draw ball from each urn
- win if (heads and a red ball) or (two balls of same color)
- 0.4 :: heads. **annotated disjunction**: first ball is red with probability 0.3 and blue with 0.7 0.3 :: col(1,red); 0.7 :: col(1,blue).

#### ProbLog by example:

# A bit of gambling



- toss (biased) coin & draw ball from each urn
- win if (heads and a red ball) or (two balls of same color)

#### 0.4 :: heads.



• toss (biased) coin & draw ball from each urn

• win if (heads and a red ball) or (two balls of same color)

#### 0.4 :: heads.

0.3 :: col(1,red); 0.7 :: col(1,blue). 0.2 :: col(2,red); 0.3 :: col(2,green); 0.5 :: col(2,blue).

win :- heads, col(\_,red).

logical rule encoding background knowledge



- toss (biased) coin & draw ball from each urn
- win if (heads and a red ball) or (two balls of same color)
- 0.4 :: heads.

0.3 :: col(1,red); 0.7 :: col(1,blue). 0.2 :: col(2,red); 0.3 :: col(2,green); 0.5 :: col(2,blue).

win :- heads, col(\_,red). logical rule encoding
win :- col(1,C), col(2,C). background knowledge



- toss (biased) coin & draw ball from each urn
- win if (heads and a red ball) or (two balls of same color)

```
0.4 :: heads. probabilistic choices

0.3 :: col(1,red); 0.7 :: col(1,blue).

0.2 :: col(2,red); 0.3 :: col(2,green);

0.5 :: col(2,blue).

win :- heads, col(_,red).

win :- col(1,C), col(2,C).

consequences
```



0.4 :: heads.

```
0.3 :: col(1,red); 0.7 :: col(1,blue).
0.2 :: col(2,red); 0.3 :: col(2,green); 0.5 :: col(2,blue).
win :- heads, col(_,red).
win :- col(1,C), col(2,C).
```

- Probability of win?
- Probability of win given col(2, green)?
- Most probable world where win is true?

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

< 4<sup>™</sup> ▶



```
0.4 :: heads.
```

```
0.3 :: col(1,red); 0.7 :: col(1,blue).
0.2 :: col(2,red); 0.3 :: col(2,green); 0.5 :: col(2,blue).
```

```
win :- heads, col(_,red).
win :- col(1,C), col(2,C).
```

#### marginal probability

- Probability of win query
- Probability of win given col (2, green)?
- Most probable world where win is true?



0.4 :: heads.

```
0.3 :: col(1,red); 0.7 :: col(1,blue).
0.2 :: col(2,red); 0.3 :: col(2,green); 0.5 :: col(2,blue).
```

```
win := heads, col(_,red).
win := col(1,C), col(2,C).
```

#### marginal probability

• Probability of win?

#### conditional probability

< 4 ₽ × <

- Probability of win given col (2, green)? evidence
- Most probable world where win is true?

3 1 4 3 1



0.4 :: heads.

```
0.3 :: col(1,red); 0.7 :: col(1,blue).
0.2 :: col(2,red); 0.3 :: col(2,green); 0.5 :: col(2,blue).
```

```
win :- heads, col(_,red).
win :- col(1,C), col(2,C).
```

#### marginal probability

• Probability of win?

#### conditional probability

- Probability of win given col(2, green)?
- Most probable world where win is true? MPE inference

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

0.4 :: heads. 0.3 :: col(1,red); 0.7 :: col(1,blue). 0.2 :: col(2,red); 0.3 :: col(2,green); 0.5 :: col(2,blue). win :- heads, col(\_,red). win :- col(1,C), col(2,C).

19

ヨト イヨト

Image: A matrix and a matrix





19

ヨト イヨト

< 4<sup>™</sup> ▶

0.4 :: heads.

0.3 :: col(1,red); 0.7 :: col(1,blue).

0.2 :: col(2,red); 0.3 :: col(2,green); 0.5 :: col(2,blue).

win :- heads, col(\_,red).
win :- col(1,C), col(2,C).



19

- (日)

0.4 :: heads.

0 3 :: col(1,red): 0.7 :: col(1,blue) 0.2 :: col(2,red); 0.3 :: col(2,green); 0.5 :: col(2,blue).

win :- heads, col(\_,red).
win :- col(1,C), col(2,C).



19

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Image: A matrix and a matrix

0.4 :: heads.
0 3 ··· col (1 red) · 0 7 ··· col (1 blue) 0.2 :: col (2,red) ; 0.3 :: col (2,green) ; 0.5 :: col (2,blue).
win :- heads, $col(_,red)$ . win :- $col(1,C)$ , $col(2,C)$ .



19

э

0.4 :: heads. 0.3 :: col(1,red); 0.7 :: col(1,blue) <- true. 0.2 :: col(2,red); 0.3 :: col(2,green); 0.5 :: col(2,blue) <- true. win :- heads, col(\_,red). win :- col(1,C), col(2,C).



< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

# All Possible Worlds



э

35 / 57

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >



- ∢ ⊒ →

36 / 57

< □ > < @ >



< ∃⇒

37 / 57

< □ > < @ >



SADC

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

э



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

э



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



41 / 57

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



44 / 57

< • > < • > <

# Flexible and Compact Relational Model for Predicting Grades



#### "Program" Abstraction:

- S, C logical variable representing students, courses
- the set of individuals of a type is called a population
- Int(S), Grade(S, C), D(C) are parametrized random variables

#### Grounding:

- for every student s, there is a random variable Int(s)
- for every course c, there is a random variable Di(c)
- for every s, c pair there is a random variable Grade(s,c)
- all instances share the same structure and parameters

De Raedt, Kersting, Natarajan, Poole: Statistical Relational AI

# ProbLog by example: Grading



```
0.4 :: int(S) :- student(S).
0.5 :: diff(C):- course(C).
student(john). student(anna). student(bob).
course(ai). course(ml). course(cs).
gr(S,C,a) := int(S), not diff(C).
0.3::gr(S,C,a); 0.5::gr(S,C,b);0.2::gr(S,C,c) :-
           int(S), diff(C).
0.1::gr(S,C,b); 0.2::gr(S,C,c); 0.2::gr(S,C,f) :=
           student(S), course(C),
           not int(S), not diff(C).
0.3::gr(S,C,c); 0.2::gr(S,C,f) :=
           not int(S), diff(C).
```

46 / 57

# ProbLog by example: Grading

```
unsatisfactory(S) :- student(S), grade(S,C,f).
excellent(S) := student(S), not grade(S,C,G), below(G,a).
excellent(S) := student(S), grade(S,C,a).
0.4 :: int(S) :- student(S).
0.5 :: diff(C):- course(C).
student(john). student(anna). student(bob).
course(ai). course(ml). course(cs).
gr(S,C,a) :- int(S), not diff(C).
0.3::gr(S,C,a); 0.5::gr(S,C,b);0.2::gr(S,C,c) :-
           int(S), diff(C).
0.1::gr(S,C,b); 0.2::gr(S,C,c); 0.2::gr(S,C,f) :-
           student(S), course(C),
           not int(S), not diff(C).
0.3::gr(S,C,c); 0.2::gr(S,C,f) :-
           not int(S), diff(C).
```

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

# Inference

The challenge : disjoint sum problem

```
P(win) = P(h(1) \lor (h(2) \land h(3))
=/= P(h(1)) + P(h(2) \land h(3))
```

should be

```
= P(h(1)) + P(h(2) \land h(3)) - P(h(1) \land h(2) \land h(3))
```

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

# Inference

#### Map to Weighted Model Counting Problem and Solver

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

# Weighted Model Counting

$$WMC(\phi) = \sum_{I_V \models \phi} \prod_{l \in I_V} w(l)$$

ヨト イヨト

Image: A matrix

# Weighted Model Counting

propositional formula in conjunctive normal form (CNF)



# Weighted Model Counting



De Raedt, Kersting, Natarajan, Poole: Statistical Relational AI

# Weighted Model Counting

- Simple WMC solvers based on a generalisation of DPLL algorithm for SAT (Davis Putnam Logeman Loveland algorithm)
- Current solvers often use knowledge compilation (is also state of the art for inference in graphical models) — here an OBDD, many variations s-dDNNF, SDDs, ...

win  $\leftrightarrow$  h(1)  $\vee$  (h(2)  $\wedge$  h(3))

A good source for Binary Decision Diagrams OBDD: ordered binary decision diagrams

s-dDNNF: structured-deterministic Decomposable Negation Normal Form SDD: Sentential Decision Diagram

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

De Raedt, Kersting, Natarajan, Poole: Statistical Relational AI

# Weighted Model Counting

- Simple WMC solvers based on a generalisation of DPLL algorithm for SAT (Davis Putnam Logeman Loveland algorithm)
- Current solvers often use knowledge compilation (is also state of the art for inference in graphical models) — here an OBDD, many variations s-dDNNF, SDDs, ...



win  $\leftrightarrow$  h(1)  $\vee$  (h(2)  $\wedge$  h(3))

----

De Raedt, Kersting, Natarajan, Poole: Statistical Relational AI

# Weighted Model Counting

- Simple WMC solvers based on a generalisation of DPLL algorithm for SAT (Davis Putnam Logeman Loveland algorithm)
- Current solvers often use knowledge compilation (is also state of the art for inference in graphical models) — here an OBDD, many variations s-dDNNF, SDDs, ...



< A

win  $\leftrightarrow$  h(1)  $\vee$  (h(2)  $\wedge$  h(3))

De Raedt, Kersting, Natarajan, Poole: Statistical Relational AI

< A

# Weighted Model Counting

- Simple WMC solvers based on a generalisation of DPLL algorithm for SAT (Davis Putnam Logeman Loveland algorithm)
- Current solvers often use knowledge compilation (is also state of the art for inference in graphical models) — here an OBDD, many variations s-dDNNF, SDDs, ...



# More inference

- Many variations / extensions
- Approximate inference
- Lifted inference
  - infected(X) :- contact(X,Y), sick(Y).