Sorting in Linear Time

Pedro Ribeiro

DCC/FCUP

2018/2019



Pedro Ribeiro (DCC/FCUP)

Sorting with and without comparisons

- We have already talked about several algorithms for sorting
- They were based on **comparisons**: questions of the form "*a* < *b*?"
 - Quicksort
 - Mergesort
 - There are others such as Heapsort, ...
- We have shown that for these comparison-based algorithms we have a lower bound on the number of comparisons needed: Θ(n log n)
- Today we are going to talk about algorithms not based on comparisons (and thus not restricted to the previous lower bound)

Counting Sort

• Assumption: integers to be sorted are in the range 0 to k

```
Counting Sort - Sort elements in A, with sorted output in B
CountingSort(A,B,k):
  C[0..k] \leftarrow \text{new array}
  for i = 0 to k
    C[i] = 0
  for i = 1 to A.length
    C[A[i]] = C[A[i]] + 1
  // C[i] now contains the number of elements equal to i.
  for i = 1 to k
    C[i] = C[i] + C[i-1]
  // C[i] now contains the number of elements less than or equal to i.
  for i = A.length downto 1
    B[C[A[i]]] = A[i]
    C[A[i]] = C[A[i]] -1
```

Counting Sort



(a) A and C after first counting loop
(b) C after third loop
(c), (d) and (e) first iterations of last loop
(f) final state of array B
(figure from CLRS)

Counting Sort

What is the **time complexity**?

Let n = A.length

- First loop: $\Theta(k)$
- Second loop: $\Theta(n)$
- Third loop: $\Theta(k)$
- Fourth loop: $\Theta(n)$
- Total: $\Theta(n+k)$

If k is O(n) then this sort runs in **linear time**! $\Theta(n)$

Stable sorting

A sorting algorithm is said to be **stable** if in case of a tie it keeps the order of the original array

- This property may be important, for instance, when "satellite" data is carried around the elements being sorted
 - ► Ex: sorting persons tuples (name, age) only by age
- Counting Sort is stable! (because of the order of the last loop)
 - ► This is why it may be used as a subroutine in Radix Sort, as we'll see

- Imagine you are sorting "by columns", i.e., by considering at a time each possible digit position
- Intuitively, you would probably imagine starting with the **most** significant digit.
- **RadixSort** solves the sorting problem somehow "counter-intuitively", by starting with the **least significant digit**.

Radix Sort

• Assumption: each element in the array A has d digits, where 1 is the lowest order digit, and d the highest-order digit

Radix Sort RadixSort(A,d): for i = 1 to d Use a stable sort to sort array A on digit i(figure from CLRS)

Radix Sort

• Radix Sort is akin to sorting by different fields

- Ex: sort dates by year, then by month, then by day We could do a comparison-based sort: compare year, if tie, compare month, if tie compare day or do 3 consecutive stable sorts: first by day, then by month, and finally by year
- Complexity of Radix Sort depends on the used sorting algorithm
- An usual choice is... Counting Sort! In this case we have $\Theta(d(k+n))$
 - We can sort *n b*-bit numbers in $\Theta((b/r)(n+2^r))$ with counting sort
 - ★ Each key has $\lceil b/r \rceil$ digits of r bits each (our d)
 - ★ Each digit is an integer in the range 0 to $2^r 1$ (our k)

Sorting Keys that are not numerical

- Counting and Radix sort do not depend on having numerical keys
- They depend on having the possibility to "break" the key into "digits" (parts) and to map each part into a numerical value
- For instance, if we have **strings**, we can break by chars, and map each char into a number (a = 0, b = 1, ..., z = 25)

EAR		SEA		EAR		BOX	
COW		DOG		SEA		COW	
DOG		BIG		DIG		DIG	
NOW	->	EAR	->	DOG	->	DOG	
SEA		COW		COW		EAR	
BOX		NOW		NOW		FOX	
BIG		BOX		BOX		NOW	
FOX		FOX		FOX		SEA	

- Assumption: keys follow an uniform distribution over a certain range
- Idea: make *n* equal sized "buckets", distribute input over buckets, sort each bucket and then concatenate results
- Rationale: If the input is uniformly distributed, than there will not be many numbers in each bucket!

• Without loss of generality, let's assume for now that the range is 0..1

```
Bucket Sort - each bucket is a list
BucketSort(A):
  n = A.length
  B[0..n.1] \leftarrow new array of lists
  for i = 0 to n-1
     B[i] = empty list
  for i = 1 to n
    insert A[i] into B[|nA[i]|]
  for i = 0 to n-1
     sort list B[i]
  concatenate lists B[0], B[1], ..., B[n-1] together, in order
```

An example



(figure from CLRS)

Pedro Ribeiro (DCC/FCUP)

What is the **execution time**?

- Everything except the cycle with sorts takes $\Theta(n)$
- We need to analyse the time taken by the sorts
- Let's assume we use **Insertion Sort** (good for lists) each is $O(n^2)$

Execution Time of Bucket Sort (with Insertion Sort) n_i : random variable denoting the number of elements in bucket *i* $T(n) = \Theta(n) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} O(n_i^2)$

We want to analyse the average-case running time of bucket sort: calculate the expected value of running time.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[T(n)] &= \mathbf{E}[\Theta(n) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} O(n_i^2)] \\ &= \Theta(n) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{E}[O(n_i^2)] \quad \text{(by linearity of expectation)} \\ &= \Theta(n) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} O(\mathbf{E}[n_i^2]) \end{aligned}$$

What is the value of $E[n_i^2]$? (expected size of each bucket *i*)

Claim:
$$\mathbf{E}[n_i^2] = 2 - 1/n$$

Let's define an indicator random variable X_{ij} with values:

• 1 if A[j] falls on bucket *i*, 0 otherwise

With this, $n_i = \sum_{j=1}^n X_{ij}$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}[n_i^2] &= \mathbf{E}[(\sum_{j=1}^n X_{ij})^2] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n X_{ij} X_{ik}] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[\sum_{j=1}^n X_{ij}^2 + \sum_{1 \le j \le n} \sum_{1 \le k \le n, k \ne j} X_{ij} X_{ik}] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{E}[X_{ij}^2] + \sum_{1 \le j \le n} \sum_{1 \le k \le n, k \ne j} \mathbf{E}[X_{ij} X_{ik}] \end{split}$$

Let's first solve $E[X_{ij}^2]$. The probability of *j* being in bucket *i* is 1/n, since the keys are uniformly distributed.

$$\mathbf{E}[X_{ij}^2] = 1^2 imes rac{1}{n} + 0^2 imes (1 - rac{1}{n}) = rac{1}{n}$$

Now, when $k \neq j$, variables X_{ij} and X_{ik} are independent:

$$\mathsf{E}[X_{ij}X_{ik}] = \mathsf{E}[X_{ij}] \, \mathsf{E}[X_{ik}] = \frac{1}{n} \times \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n^2}$$

Finally:

$$\mathbf{E}[n_i^2] = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{n} + \sum_{1 \le j \le n} \sum_{1 \le k \le n, k \ne j} \frac{1}{n^2}$$

= $n \times \frac{1}{n} + n(n-1) \times \frac{1}{n^2}$
= $1 + \frac{n-1}{n}$
= $2 - \frac{1}{n}$

We want to analyse the average-case running time of bucket sort: calculate the expected value of running time.

$$\mathbf{E}[T(n)] = \Theta(n) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} O(\mathbf{E}[n_i^2])$$

= $\Theta(n) + n \times O(2 - 1/n)$
= $\Theta(n)$

Hence, Bucket Sort will have linear complexity on the average-case!

Even if the input does not follow a uniform distribution, bucket sort may still run in linear time, as long as the **sum of the squares of the bucket sizes is linear** in the total number of elements.