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#### Abstract

RepeatAround is a Windows based software tool designed to find "direct repeats", "inverted repeats", "mirror repeats" and "complementary repeats", from 3 to 64 bp length, in circular genomes. It processes input files directly extracted from GenBank database, providing visualisation of the repeats location in the genomic structure, so that for instance, in most mtDNAs the user can check if the repeats are located in coding or non-coding region (and in the first case in which gene), and how far apart the repeat pair(s) are. Besides the visual tool, it provides other outputs in a spreadsheet containing information on the number and location of the repeats, facilitating graphic analyses. Several genomes can be inputed simultaneously, for phylogenetic comparison purposes. Other capabilities of the software are the generation of random circular genomes, for statistical evaluation of comparison between observed repeats distributions with their shuffled counterparts, as well as the search for specific motifs, allowing an easy confirmation of repeats flanking a newly detected rearrangement. As an example of the programme's applications we analysed the Direct Repeats distribution in a large human mtDNA database. Results showed that Direct Repeats, even the larger ones, are evenly distributed among the human mtDNA haplogroups, enabling us to state that, based only on the repetitive motifs, no haplogroup is particularly more or less prone to mtDNA macrodeletions. © 2006 Elsevier B.V. and Mitochondria Research Society. All rights reserved.


Keywords: Direct repeats; Inverted repeats; Mirror repeats; Complementary repeats; Circular genomes

## 1. Introduction

Genomes are interspersed by repeated sequence motifs, which can be classified in four types: direct (e.g. AGTTC/AGTTC), inverted (AGTTC/GAACT), mirror (AGTTC/CTTGA) and complementary (AGTTC/TCAA G). These repeated motifs are potential places for the occurrence of gross genome rearrangements, such as deletions and duplications, leading to a variety of malfunctions and diseases. This is the etiology of many human

[^0]pathologies, both in mitochondrial DNA (Brandon et al., 2005; Samuels et al., 2004) and in the nuclear genome, most of them somatic, and sometimes leading to cancer (Chuzhanova et al., 2003). Recently, Samuels (2004) showed that life span of mammalian species can be constrained by the size of the longest direct repeats present in their mitochondrial genome.

The high number of available softwares for repeat finding [e.g. REPuter (Kurtz and Schleiermacher, 1999); GCG-package (http://www.accelrys.com); DnkSet_Demo (http://www.dnkset.com)] also testifies the importance of these repeats for genomes. Nevertheless, some of these packages characteristics do limit its application for repeat finding in mtDNA genomes: (1) many do not work in

Windows platform (e.g. GCG-package), extensively limiting its use by geneticists, (2) do not take into account that sub-motifs of larger repeats overestimate genome redundancy (DnkSet_Demo), and (3) are devised for linear DNA (all of them), while many genomes, such as most mtDNAs are circular.

Here we present a software for repeat search in circular genomes, that does work in Windows platform, and that can be freely downloaded from http://www.ipatimup.pt/ app/default.htm. To further encourage its use by geneticists, the input files can be downloaded directly from GenBank ${ }^{\circledR}$ database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ Genbank). The genetic information contained in the input file (genes and its location) is used to construct an image of the genome and the location of the repeated motifs found. But, if the user wants to analyse a sequence not yet submitted to GenBank, an option for inputting a text file is also provided.

## 2. Implementation

RepeatAround uses suffix trees for analyzing the sequence and finding the repeats or particular motifs. A suffix tree is a data-structure that allows many string (sequences of characters) problems to be solved very efficiently.

A suffix tree can be defined as a data-structure that exposes the internal structure of a string (Gusfield, 1997). As the name implies, it is a tree representation of all the possible string suffixes within a text. Therefore each leaf and node of the tree is associated with a suffix in the text, and the path from the root to a node or leaf represents a substring of the text common to all suffixes of the respective node/leaf. Then if one of this substrings has several different suffixes, this substring is clearly repeated in the text. So, it might be one of the repeats we are looking for. Often, these repeats occur as a substring of another larger repeat, and so, these should not be accounted for, as they would overestimate the genome redundancy.

We define a pair of a repeated string as two separate occurrences of this string whose both left and right characters are different. For example, for a text $x \boldsymbol{s} y w s y w s z$, where $\boldsymbol{s}$ is a string and $x, y, w, z$ are characters, the direct repeat pairs are $\boldsymbol{s y}$ (the first and second occurrences of $\boldsymbol{s}$ ), ws (the second and third occurrences of $\boldsymbol{s}$ ), and $\boldsymbol{s}$ at the first and third occurrences. The $s$ pair is considered just once, the only instance where it does not occur as a substring of a longer repeated string.

The computation of the inverted, mirror and complementary repeats is similar. First the entire sequence is inserted in the suffix tree, but altered to match the desired repeats. For example, the sequence is inverted and the bases complemented to find the inverted repeats, or just inverted to find the mirror repeats. Then the algorithm for locating the repeated pairs is basically the same as for direct repeats.

When working with DNA sequences, the implementation of the suffix trees is simpler, as there are only four different symbols in the sequence. Also, because this software only analyses circular genomes, there is no need to add a different symbol for the end of the sequence.

## 3. Program displayings

The minimum and maximum lengths of the repeats can be defined by the user, between 3 and 64 bp (by default, the program finds repeats between 8 and 30 bp ). The sequences analysed can either be generated randomly (the user sets the proportion of each of the four DNA bases), loaded from a text file in GenBank ${ }^{\circledR}$ format, or even a personal .txt file containing a sequence not yet submitted to the database.

When a genome is loaded the program computes all the direct, inverted, mirror and complementary repeats (between the minimum and maximum lengths defined) and shows them in a tree control, on the left side of the window, sorted by type and length of the repeats (Fig. 1). Each line in the tree has a number inside parenthesis showing the number of pairs found for a given repeat type, repeat length or specific repeat. By selecting a specific repeat, all the occurrences are highlighted in the sequence (green for the direct repeats, and red for inverted, mirror, and complementary repeats).

The entire set of a single type of repeat pair, or the number of repeated pairs (by length) is presented in a Microsoft ${ }^{T M}$ Excel spreadsheet [the user must have Microsoft ${ }^{T M}$ Excel 9.0 (2000) or latter installed in their own computer for this functionality to work]. This makes it easier to analyse the results produced by the program, and operate on them subsequently.

The GenBank ${ }^{\circledR}$ files, besides the actual DNA sequence, also contain information about genes and gene products, as well as regions of biological significance reported in the sequence. With this information, RepeatAround constructs a diagram of the circular sequence showing these regions and the points where a selected repeat occurs (again, marked green for direct repeats and red for the others; Fig. 1).

In order to save time in phylogenetic surveys (as conducted in Samuels, 2004), it is possible to simultaneously compare several genomes, by using the option "Compare Genomes". This tool produces a summary table of the number of direct repeats present in each one of the genomes being compared.

Finally, it is also possible to search for a specific subsequence (such as a small motif or a primer). This last feature allows an easy investigation on whether the limits flanking a certain newly detected rearrangement (which are continuously being discovered; e.g. Goios et al., 2005) are classifiable as repeats, and if so, its genetic location in the genome.


Fig. 1. Diagram output from RepeatAround when selecting the 12 bp DR (motif AAAAATTATAAC) detected in the human mitochondrial genome deposited in the GenBank with Accession Number NC_001807.


Fig. 2. Average number of direct repeat pairs in sequences of the different human haplogroups. Standard deviation is shown by the whisker around the top of each bar.

Table 1
The longer DR in the 102 human mtDNA sequences from diverse haplogroups (Hap)

|  |  | Frequent Direct Repeats |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Frequent Direct Repeats |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acc. No. | Hap. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 15 \mathrm{bp} \\ \text { CAAACTCAA } \\ \text { ACTACG } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 13 \mathrm{bp} \\ \text { ACCTCCCTC } \\ \text { ACCA } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 13bp } \\ \text { TCTATCACC } \\ \text { CTAT } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 13 \mathrm{bp} \\ \text { CTCACACC } \\ \text { CACT } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 13 \mathrm{bp} \\ \text { CCCATACCC } \\ \text { CGAA } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 13bp } \\ \text { GTACATAGC } \\ \text { ACAT } \end{array}$ | Sporadic Direct Repeats | Acc. No. | Hap. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 15 \mathrm{bp} \\ \hline \text { CAAACTCA } \\ \text { AACTACG } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 13 \mathrm{bp} \\ \text { ACCTCCT } \\ \text { CACCA } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 13bp } \\ \text { TCTATAC } \\ \text { CCTAT } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 13 \mathrm{bp} \\ \text { CTCAACAC } \\ \text { CCACT } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 13bp } \\ \text { CCCATACC } \\ \text { CCGAA } \end{array}$ | 13 bp <br> GTACATAG <br> CACAT | Sporadic Direct Repeats |
| AF346971 | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AF347009 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AY195786 | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AY195777 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AY195771 | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AY195780 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AY195760 | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AF346987 | L1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14bp CCTAGACCAAACCT |
| AF346993 | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AF346986 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AY195770 | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AF346992 | L1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14bp CCTAGACCAAACCT |
| AY195749 | B |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 bp <br> CAAACCCCCCCCC | AF346968 | L1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14bp CCTAGACCAAACCT |
| AF347001 | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AF346969 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14bp CCTAGACCAAACCT |
| AF347007 | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AF346996 | L1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AF347011 | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AF346997 | L1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14bp CCTAGACCAAACCT |
| AY195759 | C |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13bp } \\ & \text { GCTTCATTCACTG } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | AY195789 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14bp CCTAGACCAAACCT |
| AY195772 | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AY195783 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AY195763 | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AF346995 | L2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15bp |
| AY195753 | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AF346976 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AF346991 | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AF346977 | $\underline{L}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \mathrm{bp} \\ & \text { CCCTACTACTATC } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| AF346979 | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AY195788 | L2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AF346970 | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AY195776 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AF347012 | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AY195766 | L2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15bp } \\ & \text { AACTCATACCCCCAT } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| AF347013 | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AY195785 | L2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15bp |
| AF346984 | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AF346994 | L3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AF346989 | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AF346980 | L3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AF346990 | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AF346967 | L3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AY195790 | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AY195784 | L3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AY195748 | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AY195782 | L3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14bp TCATCCTAGCCCTA |



## 4. Evaluating the distribution of direct repeats (DR) in a human mtDNA database

We used RepeatAround to analyse 102 human mtDNA sequences (including the Cambridge Reference Sequence CRS - Andrews et al., 1999) belonging to different haplogroups (Mishmar et al., 2003; Ingman et al. 2000) and one Pan troglodytes sequence (GenBank Accession No.: NC_001643), and to generate and survey 20 randomly shuffled sequences with the same base composition as the human and chimpanzee references. Our aims were to: (1) compare distributions of DR between human haplogroups; (2) evaluate if particular haplogroup defining polymorphisms do disrupt the longer DR or do create new ones; (3) measure if DR are evenly distributed or not in the mtDNA molecule.

The results of our analysis of the human reference and chimpanzee sequences compared to the respective random sequences agree with those from Samuels (2004). The total number of observed pairs of DRs equal to or longer than 8 bp was about 1.3 times higher than expected, and in all 20 randomisations, there were fewer DRs $\geqslant 8 \mathrm{bp}$ than in the human sequences. This excess of DRs was observed for every size class analysed. And the same pattern was observed in all individuals, with the total number of DRs $\geqslant 8$ bp varying only between 3813 and 3908 (mean 3873 , s.d. 20.4). The number of repeated motifs is strongly conserved in the distinct mtDNA sequences. This pattern is still present if we analyse the sequences by haplogroup, i.e. there are no differences in DR distributions between haplogroups (Fig. 2A), even considering only the longer motifs $\geqslant 12 \mathrm{bp}$ (Fig. 2B).

Focusing on the actual motifs $\geqslant 12 \mathrm{bp}$ present in the 102 human sequences, we observed that most of them are
common to all individuals: besides the 15 bp repeat that is common to almost all except one of the human sequences analysed, there are also 4 DRs 13 bp long that are strongly conserved, one of which corresponds to the "Common Deletion" breakpoints (Table 1). Some new DRs seem to be caused by sporadic polymorphisms since they are not associated to any specific haplogroup, appearing in just a few individuals. But two cases call our attention: the 14 bp repeat appearing in six of the nine L1 samples, which is generated by the transversion T14000A (in the CRS numbering), characteristic of the subhaplogroup L1c; and the 13 bp DR GTACATAGCACAT, where the hotspot position 16311 is responsible for the frequent disruption of the motif.

It is also important to stress that, when DRs are sources of rearrangements, the places where the two motifs of a pair occur is not irrelevant, since deletions encompassing different regions of the molecule will have diverse consequences. Deleted molecules involving DR pairs on opposite sides of the origin of replication of the light chain $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{L}}: \mathrm{np}\right.$ 5721-5798), will generate a molecule unable to replicate, since the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is removed. Therefore these kinds of deletions are unlikely to reach levels allowing their detection, or leading to pathological states.

In order to visualise better whether DRs are uniformly distributed throughout the molecule, or whether they encompass one of the origins of replication, we plotted the pairs of DRs on a chart (Fig. 3), where it can be seen that repeat pairs are quite dispersed through the mtDNA molecule. By marking the origins of replication $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{H}}\right.$ coincides with the $Y$ axis), we observe that the DR pairs are not significantly grouped with respect to $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{L}}$, and consequently they have not been selected on the basis of their presumable pathogenicity.


Fig. 3. Distribution of the direct repeat pairs equal to or longer than 12 bp on the mtDNA molecule. The vertical and horizontal lines show the origin of replication of the light chain $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{L}}\right.$, full lines).

## 5. Final remarks

RepeatAround is a user-friendly software, working in a Windows platform, with some useful tools for both practical and theoretical applications. The programme was successfully used to analyse raw data from a large database of human mtDNA sequences. This allowed the confirmation of the following important points: (1) all human mtDNA haplogroups show similar distributions of DRs; (2) the DRs are present at a higher number than expected at random; (3) DRs are evenly distributed along the molecule; and (4) considering only the DRs, no human mtDNA haplogroup is particularly more or less prone to mtDNA macrodeletions.
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