Logic Programming

The descriptive power of Prolog How Prolog works Alípio Jorge DCC-FCUP vsc@dcc.fc.up.pt (room: 1.45)

These slides are largely based on Prof. Inês Dutra's and Prof. Alípio Jorge

17 de março de 2022

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

How to recognize a train going East?



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

We need a rule that is true for all Eastbound trains

- and false for the others.
- Let's define predicate eastbound/1.

Let's describe the first train



```
train(t1).
car(t1,c1). car(t1,c2). car(t1,c3). car(t1,c4).
open(c1). open(c3). open(c4).
closed(c2). small(c2).
load(c1,o1). load(c1,o2). load(c1,o3).
square(o1). square(o2). square(o3).
% to complete first train
How to complete?
```

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- How to describe some of the other trains?
- Are predictes missing?
- When to stop describing?



Define predicate eastbound/1.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへ⊙



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

eastbound(T):-car(T,C), closed(C), small(C).



What if the rule is:

- "trains with a square load in an open car" ?
- "trains with a square load and an open car" ?
- "trains with a square load or a round load" ?
- "trains with an empty car" ?
- "trains with no car" ?

Given the query ?-eastbound(X).

Look for a clause with a head that unifies with eastbound(X).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- Prove that the **body** of the clause is true.
- Provide the resulting substitution.

How Prolog works

A **proof** that eastbound(X) is true for some X.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Unification

Two terms unify if they:

- are the same term, or.
- contain variables that can be uniformly instantiated with terms in such a way that the resulting terms are equal

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Unifyable pairs

- adam and adam
- adam and X
- father(adam,abel) and father(X,abel)

Unification

Two terms unify if they:

- are the same term, or.
- contain variables that can be uniformly instantiated with terms in such a way that the resulting terms are equal

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Non unifyable pairs

- adam and eve
- adam and male(X)
- father(adam,abel) and father(X,X)
- X and s(X)

Unification

- At each step, Prolog performs the strictly necessary substitutions to unify (if possible).
- This is also called mgu = most general unifier.
- We can obtain the mgu of two terms with =/2.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

```
?- s(X,f(a)) = s(b,Y).
x=b,
Y=f(a).
```

Unification: Occur Check

For efficiency reasons Prolog implementations do not check the occurrence of a variable inside a term.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- In other words no **occur check** is done.
- So sometimes Prolog can give a wrong answer.
- In practice this is (typically) not a problem.

Example of a wrong unification in Prolog:

 $\begin{array}{l} ?- s(X) = X \\ X = s(X) \end{array}$

SLD stands for Selective, Linear for Definite Clauses

- SLD-resolution is the *inference* method used in Logic Programming.
- It is a particular, more restricted, form of resolution of first order logic.
- It is used in logic programming due to its efficiency.
- It is correct and complete for Horn clauses.

A Horn Clause is a FOL disjunction with at most one positive literal.

$$\neg L_1 \lor \neg L_2 \lor \neg L_3 \lor \ldots \lor \neg L_n \lor P$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Examples of Horn Clauses:

```
p(X):-q(x),r(X,Y).
:-man(X),mortal(X).
man(socrates).
:-true. %The null clause
```

Definition of SLD-derivation:

- Given a set of Horn clauses S and a set of goals G = G₁, ..., G_q
- An SLD-derivation is a sequence of negative clauses $< N_0, N_1, ..., N_p >$ such that:
 - $N_0 = G_j$, where G_j is one of the goals
 - For every N_i with the form $:= A_1, \ldots, A_i, \ldots, A_n$
 - ...there is some clause $A := B_1, \ldots, B_m$
 - ...such that A and A_i unify
 - N_{i+1} is :- $\sigma_i(A_1, ..., A_{k-1}, B_1, ..., B_m, A_{k+1}, ..., A_n)$
- If N_p = □ (the empty clause) we have a refutation, i.e., a proof.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

In that case the combination of all σ_i is the answer substitution

In Prolog typically:

- Goals are processed from left to right
- Clause are searched from top to bottom

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

Example program:

```
grandfather(X,Z) :- father(X,Y), parent(Y,Z).
parent(X,Y) :- father(X,Y).
parent(X,Y) :- mother(X,Y).
father(a,b).
mother(b,c).
Goal:
:-grandfather(a,X).
```

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Refutation proof tree:



[http://soft.vub.ac.be/~cderoove/declarative_programming/ decprog3_sld_cut_naf.pdf]

SLD-tree:

a proof tree shows a possible resolution path

an SLD-tree represents the search for that path



[http://soft.vub.ac.be/~cderoove/declarative_programming/ decprog3_sld_cut_naf.pdf]

SLD-tree:

> a proof tree shows a possible resolution path

when one branch fails, Prolog backtracks



[http://soft.vub.ac.be/~cderoove/declarative_programming/ decprog3_sld_cut_naf.pdf]

Backtracking example:

```
daughter(X,Y) := parent(Y,X), female(X).
parent(X,Y) := father(X,Y).
parent(X,Y) := mother(X,Y).
father(homer,bart).
father(homer,lisa).
mother(marge,bart).
mother(marge,lisa).
male(homer). male(bart).
female(marge). female(lisa).
Query 1: ?-daughter(lisa,homer).
Query 2: ?-daughter(lisa,marge).
```

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)