On Scaling Dynamic Programming Problems with a Multithreaded Tabling System

Miguel Areias and Ricardo Rocha CRACS & INESC-TEC LA Faculty of Sciences, University of Porto, Portugal miguel-areias@dcc.fc.up.pt ricroc@dcc.fc.up.pt

SEPS 2014, Portland, Oregon, United States

Tabling in Prolog Systems

Tabling is an implementation technique that overcomes some of the limitations of Prolog systems:

- Tabled subgoals are evaluated by storing their answers in an appropriate data space, called the table space.
- Repeated calls to tabled subgoals are resolved by consuming the answers already stored in the table instead of being re-evaluated against the program clauses.

Tabling in Prolog Systems

Tabling is an implementation technique that overcomes some of the limitations of Prolog systems:

- Tabled subgoals are evaluated by storing their answers in an appropriate data space, called the table space.
- Repeated calls to tabled subgoals are resolved by consuming the answers already stored in the table instead of being re-evaluated against the program clauses.
- Implementations of Tabling are currently available in systems like:
 - XSB Prolog, Yap Prolog, B-Prolog, ALS-Prolog, Mercury, Ciao Prolog.

> Multithreading combined with Tabling:

- ♦ XSB Prolog
- YapTab-Mt [ICLP 2012].

Our Motivation

- In this work we extend the YapTab-Mt framework to support asynchronous parallelism.
 - The key idea is that a thread does not wait for other threads to compute a sub-problem ...
 - but is able to use the result of the sub-problem, if another thread has already computed it.

Our Motivation

- In this work we extend the YapTab-Mt framework to support asynchronous parallelism.
 - The key idea is that a thread does not wait for other threads to compute a sub-problem ...
 - In but is able to use the result of the sub-problem, if another thread has already computed it.
- Use the YapTab-Mt to scale the execution of two well-know dynamic programming problems that can be found in many domains:
 - ♦ 0-1 Knapsack: logistics, manufacturing, finance or telecommunications.
 - Longest Common Subsequence (LCS): sequence alignment, which is a fundamental technique for biologists to investigate the similarity between species.

Our Motivation

- In this work we extend the YapTab-Mt framework to support asynchronous parallelism.
 - The key idea is that a thread does not wait for other threads to compute a sub-problem ...
 - In but is able to use the result of the sub-problem, if another thread has already computed it.
- Use the YapTab-Mt to scale the execution of two well-know dynamic programming problems that can be found in many domains:
 - ♦ 0-1 Knapsack: logistics, manufacturing, finance or telecommunications.
 - Longest Common Subsequence (LCS): sequence alignment, which is a fundamental technique for biologists to investigate the similarity between species.
- Compare parallelization techniques:
 - **Top-Down** vs **Bottom-Up**.

YapTab-Mt - Advantages

Abstraction layer for the dynamic programming (tabling) support is provided with a single instruction:

- In table predicate/arity.
- Example :- table knapsack/3.

YapTab-Mt - Advantages

Abstraction layer for the dynamic programming (tabling) support is provided with a single instruction:

- In table predicate/arity.
- Example :- table knapsack/3.

Thread API is POSIX Threads compliant:

- Management creating, joining , yielding, etc.
- Monitoring statistics, properties, etc.
- Synchronization mutex creation, statistics, etc.

YapTab-Mt - Advantages

Abstraction layer for the dynamic programming (tabling) support is provided with a single instruction:

- In table predicate/arity.
- Example :- table knapsack/3.

Thread API is POSIX Threads compliant:

- Management creating, joining , yielding, etc.
- Monitoring statistics, properties, etc.
- Synchronization mutex creation, statistics, etc.

Write complex dynamic programming applications using the Prolog programming language.

Procedures in Prolog can be written as logical specifications, which are closer to mathematical notation.

Internal Table Space Architecture

Table Entry: stores generic about the predicates.

table knapsack/3.



Internal Table Space Architecture

- Table Entry: stores generic about the predicates.
 - table knapsack/3.
- Subgoal Trie Structure: stores the identifier of the computations.
 - knapsack(item_i, capacity_c, Profit).



Internal Table Space Architecture

- Table Entry: stores generic about the predicates.
 - table knapsack/3.
- Subgoal Trie Structure: stores the identifier of the computations.
 - knapsack(item_i, capacity_c, Profit).
- Answer Trie Structure: stores the answers of the computations.
 - knapsack(item_i, capacity_c, Profit).

















- An item is included or excluded from the Knapsack whether it belongs or not to the best solution of the problem.
- > Thread(s) scheduling:
 - Threads begin their evaluation in the top query.
 - Disperse threads through the evaluation tree using random branch orders.



- An item is included or excluded from the Knapsack whether it belongs or not to the best solution of the problem.
- > Thread(s) scheduling:
 - Threads begin their evaluation in the top query.
 - Disperse threads through the evaluation tree using random branch orders.



- An item is included or excluded from the Knapsack whether it belongs or not to the best solution of the problem.
- > Thread(s) scheduling:
 - Threads begin their evaluation in the top query.
 - Disperse threads through the evaluation tree using random branch orders.



- An item is included or excluded from the Knapsack whether it belongs or not to the best solution of the problem.
- Thread(s) scheduling:
 - Threads begin their evaluation in the top query.
 - Disperse threads through the evaluation tree using random branch orders.



- Evaluate the combination of all items with all possible capacities for the Knapsack. After all combinations are evaluated, the best solution of the problem has the items that belong to the Knapsack.
- > Thread(s) scheduling:
 - Divide the complete combination in smaller chunks and evaluate them in the threads.



- Evaluate the combination of all items with all possible capacities for the Knapsack. After all combinations are evaluated, the best solution of the problem has the items that belong to the Knapsack.
- > Thread(s) scheduling:
 - Divide the complete combination in smaller chunks and evaluate them in the threads.



- Evaluate the combination of all items with all possible capacities for the Knapsack. After all combinations are evaluated, the best solution of the problem has the items that belong to the Knapsack.
- > Thread(s) scheduling:
 - Divide the complete combination in smaller chunks and evaluate them in the threads.



- Evaluate the combination of all items with all possible capacities for the Knapsack. After all combinations are evaluated, the best solution of the problem has the items that belong to the Knapsack.
- > Thread(s) scheduling:
 - Divide the complete combination in smaller chunks and evaluate them in the threads.



- Evaluate the combination of all items with all possible capacities for the Knapsack. After all combinations are evaluated, the best solution of the problem has the items that belong to the Knapsack.
- > Thread(s) scheduling:
 - **Divide** the complete combination in smaller chunks and evaluate them in the threads.



- Evaluate the combination of all items with all possible capacities for the Knapsack. After all combinations are evaluated, the best solution of the problem has the items that belong to the Knapsack.
- > Thread(s) scheduling:
 - Divide the complete combination in smaller chunks and evaluate them in the threads.



Experimental Results - 0-1 Knapsack Problem

System/Dataset		# Threads (p)							
		Time (T_1)	9	Best					
		1	8	16	24	32	Time		
Top-Down	Approa	aches							
\mathbf{YAP}_{TD_1}	\mathbf{D}_{10}	18,319	1.96	2.10	2.01	1.89	8,723		
	D_{30}	17,664	3.41	3.96	3.83	3.62	4,461		
	D_{50}	17,828	4.72	6.12	6.21	6.07	2,871		
\mathbf{YAP}_{TD_2}	\mathbf{D}_{10}	23,816	6.78	11.95	14.81	16.79	1,418		
	D_{30}	25,049	7.39	13.63	16.85	19.35	1,295		
	\mathbf{D}_{50}	24,866	7.38	13.67	16.78	19.23	1,293		
Bottom-Up Approaches									
YAP _{BU}	\mathbf{D}_{10}	17,054	7.25	13.32	17.12	19.60	0,870		
	D_{30}	17,005	7.22	13.47	17.29	19.64	0,866		
	D_{50}	16,550	7.16	13.29	17.04	19.60	0,844		
XSB_{BU}	\mathbf{D}_{10}	37,338	0.81	0.79	0.73	0.54	37,338		
	D_{30}	38,245	0.82	0.75	0.75	0.56	38,245		
	D_{50}	39,100	0.82	0.79	0.73	0.54	39,100		

Experimental Results - LCS Problem

System/Dataset		# Threads (p)							
		Time (T_1)		Best					
		1	8	16	24	32	Time		
Top-Down	Approa	aches							
\mathbf{YAP}_{TD_1}	\mathbf{D}_{10}	30,708	1.53	1.45	1.40	1.29	20,071		
	D_{30}	30,817	1.53	1.46	1.38	1.28	20,142		
	\mathbf{D}_{50}	30,707	1.52	1.44	1.39	1.27	20,202		
\mathbf{YAP}_{TD_2}	\mathbf{D}_{10}	42,556	7.25	13.13	16.26	18.32	2,323		
	\mathbf{D}_{30}	42,511	7.21	13.24	16.19	18.34	2,318		
	\mathbf{D}_{50}	42,631	7.21	13.15	16.27	18.33	2,326		
Bottom-Up Approaches									
YAP _{BU}	\mathbf{D}_{10}	27,253	6.97	10.78	14.88	17.91	1,522		
	D_{30}	27,045	6.88	11.20	14.74	17.92	1,509		
	D_{50}	27,102	6.97	11.91	14.51	18.07	1,500		
XSB_{BU}	\mathbf{D}_{10}	68,255	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	68,255		
	D_{30}	69,700	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	69,700		
	D_{50}	70,100	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	70,100		

Conclusions and Further Work

- The 0-1 Knapsack and the Longest Common Subsequence problems are two well-know dynamic programming problems.
 - We have discussed how we were able to scale the execution by taking advantage of the YapTap-Mt framework.
 - * **Top-Down** vs **Bottom-Up**.

Conclusions and Further Work

The 0-1 Knapsack and the Longest Common Subsequence problems are two well-know dynamic programming problems.

- We have discussed how we were able to scale the execution by taking advantage of the YapTap-Mt framework.
 - * **Top-Down** vs **Bottom-Up**.

Further work will include:

- Use the YapTap-Mt framework in other dynamic programming problems and domains.
- Compare the YapTap-Mt framework with other domain-specific languages and libraries for dynamic programming.
- Use timestamped tries to reduce even further the memory used in the table space.

Thank You !!!

Miguel Areias and Ricardo Rocha CRACS & INESC-TEC LA University of Porto, Portugal miguel-areias@dcc.fc.up.pt ricroc@dcc.fc.up.pt

Yap Prolog: *http://www.dcc.fc.up.pt/~vsc/Yap*

Projects SIBILA: http://cracs.fc.up.pt/

FCT Grant: *SFRH/BD/69673/2010*





