

Collections

Description	Declaration	Examples
Sets (Without repetitions)	<code>set<T></code>	<code>var s:set<int>:={1,2,3}</code>
Sequences (Lists)	<code>seq<T></code>	<code>var s:seq<int>:=[1,2,3,3]</code>
Multiset (With Repetions)	<code>multiset<T></code>	<code>var s:multiset<int>:= multiset{2,3,3}</code>
String	<code>string</code>	<code>"hello world\n"</code>
Map (Dictionary)	<code>map<K,V></code>	<code>map<string, int>:= map["one":=1,"two":= 2]</code>

Set operations

operator	description	operator	description	expression	description
<	proper subset	!!	disjointness	s	set cardinality
<=	subset	+	set union	e in s	set membership
>=	superset	-	set difference	e !in s	set non-membership
>	proper superset	*	set intersection	multiset(s): set conversion to multiset<T>	

Sets defined by comprehension: values $Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ that satisfy $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$:

$$\text{var } S := x_1 : T_1, \dots, x_n T_n \dots \mid P(x_1, \dots, x_n) :: Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

Example: `var S := set x:nat, y:nat | x < 2 && y < 2 :: (x, y)`
gets

$$S = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$$

Sequence operators

operator	description	expression	description
<	proper prefix	s	sequence length
<=	prefix	s[i]	sequence selection $0 \leq i < s $
		s[i := e]	sequence update
		e in s	sequence membership
		e !in s	sequence non-membership
		s[lo..hi]	subsequence $0 \leq lo \leq hi \leq s $
		s[lo..]	drop
		s[.hi]	take
		s[slices]	slice
		multiset(s)	sequence conversion to a multiset<T>

Multiset operations

operator	description	expression	description
<	proper multiset subset	s	multiset cardinality
<=	multiset subset	e in s	multiset membership
>=	multiset superset	e !in s	multiset non-membership
>	proper multiset superset	s[e]	multiplicity of e in s
		s[e := n]	multiset update (change of multiplicity)

operator	description
!!	multiset disjointness
+	multiset union
-	multiset difference
*	multiset intersection

Map operators

expression	description
fm	map cardinality
m[d]	map selection
m[t := u]	map update
t in m	map domain membership
t !in m	map domain non-membership

The comprehension maps are defined as sets are.

$$\text{map } x : \text{int} \mid 0 \leq x \leq 10 :: x * x$$

Proving theorems with Dafny

Proving a number theory theorem:

Theorem 1. $\forall k > 0, 2^{3k} - 3^k$ is divisible by 5.

The theorem can be proven by induction on k . We can simulate that with a program and ensure that the program validates the theorem.

The proof although using assertions is similar to the one that can be done with an interactive theorem prover.

$2^{3k} - 3^k$ is divisible by 5

```
function f(k: int): int
requires k >= 1;
{ (exp(2,3*k) - exp(3,k)) / 5 }
```

```

function exp(x: int ,e: int): int
  requires e >= 0
  decreases e
  { if e==0 then 1 else x * exp(x,e - 1) }

```

$2^{3k} - 3^k$ is divisible by 5

```

method compute5f (k: int) returns (r: int)
  requires k >= 1
  ensures r == 5*f(k)
  {
  var i, t1, t2:= 0, 1, 1;
  while i < k
  decreases k-i
  invariant 0 <= i <= k;
  invariant t1 == exp(2,3*i);
  invariant t2 == exp(3,i);
  {
  i, t1, t2 := i+1, 8*t1, 3*t2;
  }
  r := t1 - t2;
  }

```

Assume and Assert

We use the directive `assume`.

```
assume t1 == exp(2,3*i)
```

and assert the post condition

```
assert r == exp(2,3*k) - exp(3,k);
```

To verify the program one needs to change `assume` to `assert`.

But Dafny cannot prove the assertion.

Solution: try to construct a tableaux using the weakest precondition technique

```

assume 8*t1 == exp(2,3*(i+1));
i, t1, t2 := i+1, 8*t1, 3*t2;
assert t1 == exp(2,3*i);

```

Lemmas

But the assert still does not hold

```
assert 8*t1 == 8*exp(2,3*i)== exp(2,3*(i+1))==exp(2,3*i+3);
```

Some lemmas are needed and then we use them instead of asserts.

```
lemma expPlus3_Lemma (x: int , e: int )
requires e >= 0;
ensures x * x * x * exp(x,e) == exp(x,e+3)
// to be proved
```

```
lemma DivBy5_Lemma (k: int )
requires k >= 1
ensures (exp(2,3*k) - exp(3,k)) % 5 == 0
// to be proved
```

In general a lemma is like a method but is not executed in runtime. They allow proofs by induction.

```
lemma Ex Lemma (x1: T1, ..., xn: Tn)
requires phi
ensures psi
{ body }
```

It means $\forall x_1, \dots, x_n (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$ and the body is the proof.

A call to Lemma(a) corresponds to variables instantiation.

expPlus3Lemma

For the first lemma we just use an iterative computation

```
lemma expPlus3_Lemma (x: int , e: int )
requires e >= 0;
ensures x * x * x * exp(x,e) == exp(x,e+3);
{
  assert x * x * x * exp(x,e) == x * x * exp(x,e+1) == x * exp(x,e+2) == exp(x,e+3);
  // assert x* exp(x,e) == exp(x,e+1);
}
```

DivBy5Lemma

For the second one needs to use calc that allows to perform algebraic calculations where one can use also assert, lemma, etc to justify each step (*hints*, that are written with {}).

Each step is separated by a logic operator : ==, →, etc

```

Lemma {:induction k} DivBy5_Lemma (k: int )
requires k >= 1
decreases k
ensures (exp(2,3*k) - exp(3,k)) % 5 == 0
{
  if k==1 {
  } else {
  calc {
    (exp(2,3*k)- exp(3,k)) % 5; ==
    (exp(2,3*(k-1)+3) - exp(3,(k-1)+1)) % 5;
  }
  expPlus3_Lemma(2,3*(k-1));
  }
  (8*exp(2,3*(k-1)) - exp(3,(k-1))*3) % 5;
  ==
  (3 * ( exp(2,3*(k-1)) - exp(3,k-1) ) + 5*exp(2,3*(k-1))) % 5;
  ==
  { DivBy5_Lemma (k-1);
  }
  // assert(exp(2,3*(k-1))- exp(3,k-1)) % 5 == 0;
  0;}
}
}

```

The previous lemma can be simplified just stating what is needed to the proof.

```

lemma DivBy5LemmaS (k: int )
requires k >= 1
ensures (exp(2,3*k) - exp(3,k)) % 5 == 0
{ if k > 1
{expPlus3_Lemma(2,3*(k-1));
  DivBy5LemmaS(k-1);
}
}

```

Then the annotated program is

```

method compute5f (k: int) returns (r: int)
requires k >= 1
ensures r == 5*f(k)
{
  var i, t1, t2:= 0, 1, 1;
  while i < k
  decreases k-i;
  invariant 0 <= i <= k;
  invariant t1 == exp(2,3*i);

```

```
invariant t2 == exp(3,i);
{
  expPlus3_Lemma(2,3*i) ;
  // assert 8*t1 == 8*exp(2,3*i)== exp(2,3*(i+1))==exp(2,3*i+3);
  i, t1, t2 := i+1, 8*t1, 3*t2;
  assert t1 == exp(2,3*i);
}
r := t1 - t2;
DivBy5Lemma(k);
//assert (exp(2,3*k) - exp(3,k))%5 == 0;
//assert r == 5 * f(k);
}
}
```