Verificação Formal de Software, 2019/20 FCUP

TP MC-2– LTL and CTL

1. Consider the transition system over the set of atomic propositions $AP = \{a, b, c\}$:



Decide for each of the LTL formulae φ_i below whether $s_1 \models \varphi_i$ and $s_2 \models \varphi_i$. Justify your answers. If $s_j \not\models \varphi_i$ (for either j = 0 or j = 1, and $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$) provide a path $\pi \in Paths(s_j)$ such that $\pi \not\models \varphi_i$.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \varphi_1 &=& \mathrm{FG}c \\ \varphi_2 &=& \mathrm{GF}c \\ \varphi_3 &=& \mathrm{X}\neg c \to \mathrm{XX}c \\ \varphi_4 &=& a\mathrm{UG}(a\vee c) \\ \varphi_5 &=& \mathrm{XX}b\mathrm{U}(b\vee c) \end{array}$$

2. Consider the sequential circuit with one1 bit input x, two 1 bit registers (r_1, r_2) and one 1 bit output y, where $AP = \{x, y, r_1, r_2\}$.



Write LTL formulas for the following properties:

- a) It is impossible that the circuit outputs two successive 1s.
- b) Whenever the input bit is 1, in at most two steps the output bit will be 1
- c) Whenever the input bit is 1, the register bits do not change in the next step.
- d) Register r_1 has infinitely often the value 1.

3. Consider the transition system T over the set of atomic propositions $AP = \{req, busy, ready\}$.



- (a) Check that for all initial states $s, s \models G(req \rightarrow Fbusy)$ (i.e $T \models G(req \rightarrow Fbusy)$).
- (b) It is true that $\neg(reqU\neg busy)$ is verified in all initial states of the system?
- 4. Consider the transition system over the set of atomic propositions $AP = \{b, g, r, y\}$. The model is intended to describe a traffic light that is able to blink yellow. The atomic proposition r is red, y is yellow, g is green and b is switched off.



You are requested to indicate for each of the following CTL formulae the set of states for which these formulae hold and to informally indicate which property they express. Note: You may want to build some levels of the computation tree of the system from the initial state.

- (a) AFy
- (b) AGy
- (c) AGAFy
- (d) EFg
- (e) EG $\neg g$
- (f) $A(bU\neg b)$
- (g) $E(bU\neg b)$
- (h) $A(\neg b U E F b)$
- (i) $A(\neg bUb)$
- 5. Express the following properties in CTL and in LTL whenever possible (atomic propositions in typewriter font).
 - (a) For any state, if a request occurs then it will eventually be acknowledged.
 - (b) For any state, if a request occurs then it will be acknowledged.

- (c) A lift can remain on a floor with its door closed.
- (d) An upwards lift at the floor2 and with button pressed5 goes up until it reaches the floor5 $\,$
- (e) The door is not open if the lift is not in a floor.
- 6. Which of the following pairs of formulae are equivalent? For those which are not, exhibit a model of one of the pair which is not a model of the other. In the affirmative case, give a justification.
 - (a) $G\varphi \wedge XF\varphi$ and $G\varphi$
 - (b) AGAF ψ and AFAG ψ
 - (c) $AF\varphi \lor AF\psi$ and $AF(\varphi \lor \psi)$
 - (d) $EF\varphi \lor EF\psi$ and $EF(\varphi \lor \psi)$.
 - (e) $AG(\varphi \to \psi)$ and $EF\varphi \to EF\psi$
 - (f) $\neg A(\varphi U\psi)$ and $E(\varphi U\neg \psi)$

- (g) $E(bU\neg b)$
- (h) $A(\neg b U E F b)$
- (i) $A(\neg bUb)$

Solution:

- (a) $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$; "It is inevitable that the traffic light is yellow"
- (b) \emptyset , "Invariantly the traffic light is yellow"
- (c) $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, "The traffic light is infinitely often yellow"
- (d) $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, "Potentially the traffic light is green"
- (e) $\{2,4\}$, "Potentially always the traffic light is not green"
- (f) $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, "It is inevitable that the traffic light is not switched off"
- (g) $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, "Potentially the traffic light is not switched off"
- (h) $\{1, 2, 3, 4\},\$
- (i) {4}, "It is inevitable that the traffic light is switched off"
- 5. Express the following properties in CTL and in LTL whenever possible (atomic propositions in typewriter font).
 - (a) For any state, if a request occurs then it will eventually be acknowledged.
 - (b) For any state, if a request occurs then it will be acknowledged.
 - (c) A lift can remain on a floor with its door closed.
 - (d) An upwards lift at the floor2 and with buttonpressed5 goes up until it reaches the floor5
 - (e) The door is not open if the lift is not in a floor.

Solution: If possible we write a CTL formula; LTL formula, in each case.

- a) $AG(request \rightarrow AFacknowledged); G(request \rightarrow Facknowledged)$
- b) $AG(requested \rightarrow EFacknowledge); Not possible$
- c) $AG((\texttt{floor} \land \texttt{closed}) \rightarrow EG(\texttt{floor} \land \texttt{closed}));$ Not possible
- d) $AG((\texttt{floor2} \land \texttt{buttonpressed5}) \rightarrow A(\texttt{upUfloor5})); G((\texttt{floor2} \land \texttt{buttonpressed5}) \rightarrow (\texttt{upUfloor5}))$
- e) $AG(\neg \texttt{floor} \rightarrow \neg \texttt{open}); G(\neg \texttt{floor} \rightarrow \neg \texttt{open})$

- 6. Which of the following pairs of formulae are equivalent? For those which are not, exhibit a model of one of the pair which is not a model of the other. In the affirmative case, give a justification.
 - (a) $\mathbf{G}\varphi \wedge \mathbf{X}\mathbf{F}\varphi$ and $\mathbf{G}\varphi$

Solution: Are equivalent because for all π , if $\pi \models G\varphi$ then $\pi \models XF\varphi$.

(b) AGAF ψ and AFAG ψ

Solution: Not equivalent. Consider the transition system $(S = \{s_0, s_1\}, \rightarrow = \{(s_0, s_1), (s_1, s_0)\}, I = \{s_0\}, AP = \{p, r\}, L)$

$$\rightarrow \underbrace{s_0}$$

where $L(s_0) = \{p\}$ and $L(s_1) = \{r\}$ (where actions are omitted). We have that $s_0 \models AGAFr$ but $s_0 \not\models AFAGr$. There is only one path $\pi = s_0s_1s_0s_1\cdots$ from s_0 . Because $s_0 \models AFr$ and $s_1 \models AFr$, we have $s_0 \models AGAFr$. But $s_0 \not\models AGr$ neither $s_1 \models AFr$ as $s_0 \not\models r$. Thus $s_0 \not\models AFAGr$.

(c) $AF\varphi \lor AF\psi$ and $AF(\varphi \lor \psi)$

Solution: Not equivalent. Consider the transition system $(S = \{s_0, s_1, s_2\}, \rightarrow = \{(s_0, s_1), (s_0, s_2), (s_1, s_1), (s_2, s_2)\}, I = \{s_0\}, AP = \{p, r\}, L)$ $\rightarrow \underbrace{\$0}_{\subset S_1}$ where $L(s_0) = \{\}, L(s_1) = \{r\}$ and $L(s_2) = \{p\}$ (where actions are omitted). Consider $\Delta E(r \lor r)$. The paths from so are pix = solve some and $\pi_2 = s_1 s_2 s_3 \dots$. We have that

where $L(s_0) = \{\}, L(s_1) = \{r\}$ and $L(s_2) = \{p\}$ (where actions are omitted). Consider $AF(r \lor p)$. The paths from s_0 are $pi_1 = s_0s_1s_s \cdots$ and $\pi_2 = s_0s_2s_s \cdots$. We have that $\pi_1[2] = (r \lor p)$ and $\pi_2[2] = (r \lor p)$. So we have $AF(r \lor p)$. But $s_0 \not\models AFr$, because for π_2 for all $i \ge 1, \pi_2[i] \ne r$, and similarly $s_0 \not\models AFp$. Thus $s_0 \not\models AFr \lor AFp$.

(d) $EF\varphi \lor EF\psi$ and $EF(\varphi \lor \psi)$.

Solution: Are equivalent. Suppose that $s \models EF(\varphi \lor)$ then exists one path $\pi \in Paths(s)$ such that exists $i \ge 1$ such that $\pi[i] \models (\varphi \lor \psi)$. Let $\pi[i] \models \varphi$, then also $s \models EF(\varphi)$ and so $s| \models EF\varphi \lor EF\psi$. We conclude the same if $\pi[i] \models \psi$. If $s \models EF\varphi \lor EF\psi$, and suppose, without lost of generality, that $s \models EF\psi$. Again there exists a path $\pi \in Paths(s)$ such that exists $i \ge 1$ such that $\pi[i] \models \varphi$. But then $\pi[i] \models \varphi \lor \psi$. So we also have $s \models EF(\varphi \lor \psi)$.

(e) $AG(\varphi \to \psi)$ and $EF\varphi \to EF\psi$

Solution: Not equivalent. Consider the model of case (c). We have $s0 \models EFp \rightarrow EFr$ (because $s0 \models EFr$). But $s0 \not\models AG(p \rightarrow r)$ as $s2 \not\models (p \rightarrow r)$.

(f) $\neg A(\varphi U\psi)$ and $E(\varphi U\neg \psi)$

Solution: Not equivalent. Use the fact that $A[\varphi U\psi] \equiv \neg E[\neg \psi U(\neg \varphi \land \neg \psi)] \land \neg EG \neg \psi$