

An Introduction Into OpenMP

Ruud van der Pas

Senior Staff Engineer
Scalable Systems Group
Sun Microsystems

IWOMP 2005
University of Oregon
Eugene, Oregon, USA
June 1-4, 2005



Outline

- ❑ *The OpenMP Programming Model*
- ❑ *OpenMP Guided Tour*
- ❑ *OpenMP Overview*
  - *Clauses*
  - *Worksharing constructs*
  - *Synchronization constructs*
  - *Environment variables*
  - *Global Data*
  - *Runtime functions*
- ❑ *Wrap-up*



The OpenMP Programming Model





Shared Memory Model



Programming Model

- ✔ All threads have access to the same, globally shared, memory
- ✔ Data can be shared or private
- ✔ Shared data is accessible by all threads
- ✔ Private data can be accessed only by the threads that owns it
- ✔ Data transfer is transparent to the programmer
- ✔ Synchronization takes place, but it is mostly implicit

About Data

- ◆ In a shared memory parallel program variables have a "label" attached to them:
  - ☞ Labelled "Private" ⇨ Visible to one thread only
    - ✔ Change made in local data, is not seen by others
    - ✔ Example - Local variables in a function that is executed in parallel
  - ☞ Labelled "Shared" ⇨ Visible to all threads
    - ✔ Change made in global data, is seen by all others
    - ✔ Example - Global data

The OpenMP execution model



Example - Matrix times vector

```
#pragma omp parallel for default(none) \
            private(i,j,sum) shared(m,n,a,b,c)
for (i=0; i<m; i++)
{
   sum = 0.0;
   for (j=0; j<n; j++)
     sum += b[i][j]*c[j];
   a[i] = sum;
 }
```



TID = 0

```
for (i=0,1,2,3,4)
 i = 0
   sum = Σ b[i=0][j]*c[j]
   a[0] = sum
 i = 1
   sum = Σ b[i=1][j]*c[j]
   a[1] = sum
```

TID = 1

```
for (i=5,6,7,8,9)
 i = 5
   sum = Σ b[i=5][j]*c[j]
   a[5] = sum
 i = 6
   sum = Σ b[i=6][j]*c[j]
   a[6] = sum
```

... etc ...



OpenMP Guided Tour



OpenMP™

http://www.openmp.org

compunity

http://www.compunity.org



When to consider using OpenMP?

- *The compiler may not be able to do the parallelization in the way you like to see it:*
  - *A loop is not parallelized*
    - ✓ *The data dependency analysis is not able to determine whether it is safe to parallelize or not*
  - *The granularity is not high enough*
    - ✓ *The compiler lacks information to parallelize at the highest possible level*
- *This is when explicit parallelization through OpenMP directives and functions comes into the picture*



About OpenMP

- *The OpenMP programming model is a powerful, yet compact, de-facto standard for Shared Memory Programming*
- *Languages supported: Fortran and C/C++*
- *Current release of the standard: 2.5*
  - *Specifications released May 2005*
- *We will now present an overview of OpenMP*
- *Many details will be left out*
- *For specific information, we refer to the OpenMP language reference manual (http://www.openmp.org)*

Terminology



- *OpenMP Team := Master + Workers*
- *A Parallel Region is a block of code executed by all threads simultaneously*
  - *The master thread always has thread ID 0*
  - *Thread adjustment (if enabled) is only done before entering a parallel region*
  - *Parallel regions can be nested, but support for this is implementation dependent*
  - *An "if" clause can be used to guard the parallel region; in case the condition evaluates to "false", the code is executed serially*
- *A work-sharing construct divides the execution of the enclosed code region among the members of the team; in other words: they split the work*

A loop parallelized with OpenMP



```
#pragma omp parallel default(none) \
            shared(n,x,y) private(i)
{
 #pragma omp for
   for (i=0; i<n; i++)
       x[i] += y[i];
} /*-- End of parallel region --*/
```

clauses

```
!$omp parallel default(none)     &
!$omp shared(n,x,y) private(i)
!$omp do
      do i = 1, n
         x(i) = x(i) + y(i)
      end do
!$omp end do
!$omp end parallel
```


Components of OpenMP



Directives	Environment variables	Runtime environment
◆ Parallel regions	◆ Number of threads	◆ Number of threads
◆ Work sharing	◆ Scheduling type	◆ Thread ID
◆ Synchronization	◆ Dynamic thread adjustment	◆ Dynamic thread adjustment
◆ Data scope attributes	◆ Nested parallelism	◆ Nested parallelism
☞ private		◆ Timers
☞ firstprivate		◆ API for locking
☞ lastprivate		
☞ shared		
☞ reduction		
◆ Orphaning		

Directive format



- *C: directives are case sensitive*
  - *Syntax:* #pragma omp directive [clause [clause] ...]
- *Continuation: use \ in pragma*
- *Conditional compilation:* _OPENMP *macro is set*

- *Fortran: directives are case insensitive*
  - *Syntax:* sentinel directive [clause [[,] clause]...]
  - *The sentinel is one of the following:*
    - ✓ *!$OMP or C$OMP or *$OMP (fixed format)*
    - ✓ *!$OMP (free format)*
- *Continuation: follows the language syntax*
- *Conditional compilation:* !$ *or* C$ -> 2 spaces

A more elaborate example

```
#pragma omp parallel if (n>limit) default(none) \
        shared(n,a,b,c,x,y,z) private(f,i,scale)
{
    f = 1.0;
#pragma omp for nowait
    for (i=0; i<n; i++)
       z[i] = x[i] + y[i];

#pragma omp for nowait
    for (i=0; i<n; i++)
       a[i] = b[i] + c[i];

#pragma omp barrier
        ....
    scale = sum(a,0,n) + sum(z,0,n) + f;
        ....
} /*-- End of parallel region --*/
```

Statement is executed by all threads

parallel loop (work will be distributed)

parallel loop (work will be distributed)

parallel region

synchronization

Statement is executed by all threads

Another OpenMP example

```
 1 void mxv_row(int m,int n,double *a,double *b,double *c)
 2 {
 3  int i, j;
 4  double sum;
 5
 6 #pragma omp parallel for default(none) \
 7             private(i,j,sum) shared(m,n,a,b,c)
 8  for (i=0; i<m; i++)
 9  {
10    sum = 0.0;
11    for (j=0; j<n; j++)
12      sum += b[i*n+j]*c[j];
13     a[i] = sum;
14  } /*-- End of parallel for --*/
15 }
```

```
% cc -c -fast -xrestrict -xopenmp -xloopinfo mxv_row.c
"mxv_row.c", line  8: PARALLELIZED, user pragma used
"mxv_row.c", line 11: not parallelized
```


OpenMP performance



SunFire 6800
UltraSPARC III Cu @ 900 MHz
8 MB L2-cache

*) With the IF-clause in OpenMP this performance degradation can be avoided

Some OpenMP Clauses

About OpenMP clauses



- *Many OpenMP directives support clauses*
- *These clauses are used to specify additional information with the directive*
- *For example, private(a) is a clause to the for directive:*
  - #pragma omp for private(a)
- *Before we present an overview of all the directives, we discuss several of the OpenMP clauses first*
- *The specific clause(s) that can be used, depends on the directive*

The if/private/shared clauses



if (scalar expression)

- ✔ *Only execute in parallel if expression evaluates to true*
- ✔ *Otherwise, execute serially*

```
#pragma omp parallel if (n > threshold) \
        shared(n,x,y) private(i)
  {
    #pragma omp for
     for (i=0; i<n; i++)
        x[i] += y[i];
  } /*-- End of parallel region --*/
```

private (list)

- ✔ *No storage association with original object*
- ✔ *All references are to the local object*
- ✔ *Values are undefined on entry and exit*

shared (list)

- ✔ *Data is accessible by all threads in the team*
- ✔ *All threads access the same address space*

About storage association



- *Private variables are undefined on entry and exit of the parallel region*
- *The value of the original variable (before the parallel region) is undefined after the parallel region !*
- *A private variable within a parallel region has no storage association with the same variable outside of the region*
- *Use the first/last private clause to override this behaviour*
- *We will illustrate these concepts with an example*

Example private variables



```
main()
{
  A = 10;

#pragma omp parallel
{
  #pragma omp for private(i) firstprivate(A) lastprivate(B)...
  for (i=0; i<n; i++)
  {
       ....
       B = A + i;          /*-- A undefined, unless declared
                               firstprivate --*/
       ....
  }

  C = B;                   /*-- B undefined, unless declared
                               lastprivate --*/

} /*-- End of OpenMP parallel region --*/

}
```

The first/last private clauses



firstprivate (list)

- *All variables in the list are initialized with the value the original object had before entering the parallel construct*

lastprivate (list)

- *The thread that executes the sequentially last iteration or section updates the value of the objects in the list*

The default clause



default (none | shared | private) *Fortran*

default (none | shared) *C/C++*

Note: default(private) is not supported in C/C++

none

- *No implicit defaults*
- *Have to scope all variables explicitly*

shared

- *All variables are shared*
- *The default in absence of an explicit "default" clause*

private

- *All variables are private to the thread*
- *Includes common block data, unless THREADPRIVATE*

The reduction clause - example



```
      sum = 0.0
!$omp parallel default(none) &
!$omp shared(n,x) private(i)
!$omp do reduction (+:sum)
      do i = 1, n
         sum = sum + x(i)
      end do
!$omp end do
!$omp end parallel
      print *,sum
```

Variable SUM is a shared variable

- *Care needs to be taken when updating shared variable SUM*
- *With the reduction clause, the OpenMP compiler generates code such that a race condition is avoided*

The reduction clause



reduction ([operator | intrinsic]) : list) *Fortran*

reduction (operator : list) *C/C++*

- *Reduction variable(s) must be shared variables*
- *A reduction is defined as:*

Check the docs for details

Fortran	*C/C++*
`x = x operator expr`	`x = x operator expr`
`x = expr operator x`	`x = expr operator x`
`x = intrinsic (x, expr_list)`	`x++, ++x, x--, --x`
`x = intrinsic (expr_list, x)`	`x <binop> = expr`

- *Note that the value of a reduction variable is undefined from the moment the first thread reaches the clause till the operation has completed*
- *The reduction can be hidden in a function call*

The nowait clause



- *To minimize synchronization, some OpenMP directives/pragmas support the optional nowait clause*
- *If present, threads will not synchronize/wait at the end of that particular construct*
- *In Fortran the nowait is appended at the closing part of the construct*
- *In C, it is one of the clauses on the pragma*

```
#pragma omp for nowait
{
        :
}
```

```
!$omp do
        :
        :
!$omp end do nowait
```


The parallel region



A parallel region is a block of code executed by multiple threads simultaneously

```
#pragma omp parallel [clause[[,] clause] ...]
{
    "this will be executed in parallel"

}  (implied barrier)
```

```
!$omp parallel [clause[[,] clause] ...]

    "this will be executed in parallel"

!$omp end parallel  (implied barrier)
```


The parallel region - clauses



A parallel region supports the following clauses:

if	*(scalar expression)*	
private	*(list)*	
shared	*(list)*	
default	*(none|shared)*	*(C/C++)*
default	*(none|shared|private)*	*(Fortran)*
reduction	*(operator: list)*	
copyin	*(list)*	
firstprivate	*(list)*	
num_threads	*(scalar_int_expr)*	



Worksharing Directives

Work-sharing constructs



The OpenMP work-sharing constructs

```
#pragma omp for
{
   ....
}

!$OMP DO
   ....
!$OMP END DO
```

```
#pragma omp sections
{
      ....
}

!$OMP SECTIONS
      ....
!$OMP END SECTIONS
```

```
#pragma omp single
{
      ....
}

!$OMP SINGLE
      ....
!$OMP END SINGLE
```

- The work is distributed over the threads
- Must be enclosed in a parallel region
- Must be encountered by all threads in the team, or none at all
- No implied barrier on entry; implied barrier on exit (unless nowait is specified)
- A work-sharing construct does not launch any new threads

The WORKSHARE construct



Fortran has a fourth worksharing construct:

```
!$OMP WORKSHARE

    <array syntax>

!$OMP END WORKSHARE [NOWAIT]
```

Example:

```
!$OMP WORKSHARE
    A(1:M) = A(1:M) + B(1:M)
!$OMP END WORKSHARE NOWAIT
```


The omp for/do directive



The iterations of the loop are distributed over the threads

```
#pragma omp for [clause[[,] clause] ...]
   <original for-loop>
```

```
!$omp do [clause[[,] clause] ...]
        <original do-loop>
!$omp end do [nowait]
```

Clauses supported:

private	firstprivate
lastprivate	reduction
*ordered**	*schedule* ← covered later
nowait	

*) Required if ordered sections are in the dynamic extent of this construct

The omp for directive - example



```
#pragma omp parallel default(none)\
        shared(n,a,b,c,d) private(i)
  {
    #pragma omp for nowait
      for (i=0; i<n-1; i++)
          b[i] = (a[i] + a[i+1])/2;
    #pragma omp for nowait
      for (i=0; i<n; i++)
          d[i] = 1.0/c[i];

  } /*-- End of parallel region --*/
                          (implied barrier)
```



Load balancing

- *Load balancing is an important aspect of performance*
- *For regular operations (e.g. a vector addition), load balancing is not an issue*
- *For less regular workloads, care needs to be taken in distributing the work over the threads*
- *Examples of irregular worloads:*
  - *Transposing a matrix*
  - *Multiplication of triangular matrices*
  - *Parallel searches in a linked list*
- *For these irregular situations, the* **schedule** *clause supports various iteration scheduling algorithms*

The schedule clause/1

schedule (static | dynamic | guided [, chunk])
schedule (runtime)

static [, chunk]

- ✔ *Distribute iterations in blocks of size "chunk" over the threads in a round-robin fashion*
- ✔ *In absence of "chunk", each thread executes approx. N/P chunks for a loop of length N and P threads*

Example: Loop of length 16, 4 threads:

TID	0	1	2	3
no chunk	1-4	5-8	9-12	13-16
chunk = 2	1-2 9-10	3-4 11-12	5-6 13-14	7-8 15-16

The schedule clause/2

dynamic [, chunk]

- ✔ *Fixed portions of work; size is controlled by the value of chunk*
- ✔ *When a thread finishes, it starts on the next portion of work*

guided [, chunk]

- ✔ *Same dynamic behaviour as "dynamic", but size of the portion of work decreases exponentially*

runtime

- ✔ *Iteration scheduling scheme is set at runtime through environment variable* ***OMP_SCHEDULE***

The experiment



The SECTIONS directive



The individual code blocks are distributed over the threads

```
#pragma omp sections [clause(s)]
{
#pragma omp section
          <code block1>
#pragma omp section
          <code block2>
#pragma omp section
            :
}
```

```
!$omp sections [clause(s)]
!$omp section
          <code block1>
!$omp section
          <code block2>
!$omp section
            :
!$omp end sections [nowait]
```

Clauses supported:

private firstprivate
lastprivate reduction
nowait

Note: The SECTION directive must be within the lexical extent of the SECTIONS/END SECTIONS pair

The sections directive - example



```
#pragma omp parallel default(none)\
        shared(n,a,b,c,d) private(i)
  {
    #pragma omp sections nowait
    {
      #pragma omp section
        for (i=0; i<n-1; i++)
          b[i] = (a[i] + a[i+1])/2;

      #pragma omp section
        for (i=0; i<n; i++)
          d[i] = 1.0/c[i];

    } /*-- End of sections --*/

  } /*-- End of parallel region --*/
```



Short-cuts



```
#pragma omp parallel
#pragma omp for
   for (...)
```

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for (....)
```

Single PARALLEL loop

```
!$omp parallel
!$omp do
        ...
!$omp end do
!$omp end parallel
```

```
!$omp parallel do
        ...
!$omp end parallel do
```

```
#pragma omp parallel
#pragma omp sections
{ ...}
```

```
#pragma omp parallel sections
{ ... }
```

Single PARALLEL sections

```
!$omp parallel
!$omp sections
        ...
!$omp end sections
!$omp end parallel
```

```
!$omp parallel sections
        ...
!$omp end parallel sections
```

Single WORKSHARE loop

```
!$omp parallel
!$omp workshare
        ...
!$omp end workshare
!$omp end parallel
```

```
!$omp parallel workshare
        ...
!$omp end parallel workshare
```


Orphaning



```
          :
!$omp parallel
          :
      call dowork()
          :
!$omp end parallel
          :
```

orphaned work-sharing directive

```
 subroutine dowork()
          :
!$omp do
   do i = 1, n
          :
   end do
!$omp end do
          :
```

- *The OpenMP standard does not restrict worksharing and synchronization directives (omp for, omp single, critical, barrier, etc.) to be within the lexical extent of a parallel region. These directives can be orphaned*
- *That is, they can appear outside the lexical extent of a parallel region*

More on orphaning



```
   (void) dowork(); !- Sequential FOR

#pragma omp parallel
{
   (void) dowork(); !- Parallel FOR
}
```

```
void dowork()
{
#pragma for
   for (i=0;....)
   {
      :
   }
}
```

- *When an orphaned worksharing or synchronization directive is encountered within the dynamic extent of a parallel region, its behaviour will be similar to the non-orphaned case*
- *When an orphaned worksharing or synchronization directive is encountered in the sequential part of the program (outside the dynamic extent of any parallel region), it will be executed by the master thread only. In effect, the directive will be ignored*

Parallelizing bulky loops



```
for (i=0; i<n; i++) /* Parallel loop */
{
     a = ...
     b = ... a ..
     c[i] = ....
          ......
     for (j=0; j<m; j++)
     {
       <a lot more code in this loop>
     }
          ......
}
```


Step 1: "Outlining"



```
for (i=0; i<n; i++) /* Parallel loop */
{
     (void) FuncPar(i,m,c,...)
}
```

Still a sequential program

Should behave identically

Easy to test for correctness

But, parallel by design

```
void FuncPar(i,m,c,....)
{
     float a, b; /* Private data */
     int   j;
     a = ...
     b = ... a ..
     c[i] = ....
          ......
     for (j=0; j<m; j++)
     {
       <a lot more code in this loop>
     }
          ......
}
```


Step 2: Parallelize



```
#pragma omp parallel for private(i) shared(m,c,..)
for (i=0; i<n; i++) /* Parallel loop */
{
     (void) FuncPar(i,m,c,...)
} /*-- End of parallel for --*/
```

Minimal scoping required

Less error prone

```
void FuncPar(i,m,c,....)
{
     float a, b; /* Private data */
     int   j;
     a = ...
     b = ... a ..
     c[i] = ....
          ......
     for (j=0; j<m; j++)
     {
       <a lot more code in this loop>
     }
          ......
}
```



Synchronization Controls

Barrier/1



Suppose we run each of these two loops in parallel over i:

```
for (i=0; i < N; i++)
   a[i] = b[i] + c[i];
```

```
for (i=0; i < N; i++)
   d[i] = a[i] + b[i];
```

This may give us a wrong answer (one day)

Why ?

Barrier/2



We need to have updated all of a[ ] first, before using a[ ]

```
for (i=0; i < N; i++)
   a[i] = b[i] + c[i];
```

wait !

barrier

```
for (i=0; i < N; i++)
   d[i] = a[i] + b[i];
```

All threads wait at the barrier point and only continue when all threads have reached the barrier point

Barrier/3





Each thread waits until all others have reached this point:

```
#pragma omp barrier
```

```
!$omp barrier
```


When to use barriers ?

- *When data is updated asynchronously and the data integrity is at risk*
- *Examples:*
  - *Between parts in the code that read and write the same section of memory*
  - *After one timestep/iteration in a solver*
- *Unfortunately, barriers tend to be expensive and also may not scale to a large number of processors*
- *Therefore, use them with care*

Critical region/1

If sum is a shared variable, this loop can not be run in parallel

```
for (i=0; i < N; i++){
      .....
   sum += a[i];
      .....
}
```

We can use a critical region for this:

```
for (i=0; i < N; i++){
      .....                    one at a time can proceed
   sum += a[i];
      .....                    next in line, please
}
```


Critical region/2

- *Useful to avoid a race condition, or to perform I/O (but which still will have random order)*
- *Be aware that your parallel computation may be serialized and so this could introduce a scalability bottleneck (Amdahl's law)*



Critical region/3

All threads execute the code, but only one at a time:

```
#pragma omp critical [(name)]
{<code-block>}
```

```
!$omp critical [(name)]
      <code-block>
!$omp end critical [(name)]
```

There is no implied barrier on entry or exit !

```
#pragma omp atomic
   <statement>
```

```
!$omp atomic
   <statement>
```

This is a lightweight, special form of a critical section

```
#pragma omp atomic
    a[indx[i]] += b[i];
```

Single processor region/1

This construct is ideally suited for I/O or initialization

```
for (i=0; i < N; i++)
{
      .....                    Serial
   "read a[0..N-1]";
      .....
}
```

```
"declare A to be be shared"
#pragma omp parallel for
for (i=0; i < N; i++)
{
      .....
                  one volunteer requested
   "read a[0..N-1]";
                  thanks, we're done
      .....
}
                                Parallel
```

May have to insert a barrier here

Single processor region/2

- *Usually, there is a barrier needed after this region*
- *Might therefore be a scalability bottleneck (Amdahl's law)*



SINGLE and MASTER construct

Only one thread in the team executes the code enclosed

```
#pragma omp single [clause[[,] clause] ...]
{
        <code-block>
}
```

```
!$omp single [clause[[,] clause] ...]
   <code-block>
!$omp end single [nowait]
```

Only the master thread executes the code block:

```
#pragma omp master
{<code-block>}
```

```
!$omp master
        <code-block>
!$omp end master
```

There is no implied barrier on entry or exit !

More synchronization directives

The enclosed block of code is executed in the order in which iterations would be executed sequentially:

```
#pragma omp ordered
{<code-block>}
```

```
!$omp ordered
        <code-block>
!$omp end ordered
```

Expensive !

Ensure that all threads in a team have a consistent view of certain objects in memory:

```
#pragma omp flush [(list)]
```

```
!$omp flush [(list)]
```

In the absence of a list, all visible variables are flushed



OpenMP Environment Variables



OpenMP environment variables

OpenMP environment variable	Default for Sun OpenMP
OMP_NUM_THREADS n	1
OMP_SCHEDULE "schedule,[chunk]"	static, "N/P" (1)
OMP_DYNAMIC { TRUE | FALSE }	TRUE (2)
OMP_NESTED { TRUE | FALSE }	FALSE (3)

(1) The chunk size approximately equals the number of iterations (N) divided by the number of threads (P)

(2) The number of threads will be limited to the number of on-line processors in the system. This can be changed by setting OMP_DYNAMIC to FALSE.

(3) Multi-threaded execution of inner parallel regions in nested parallel regions is supported as of Sun Studio 10

Note: The names are in uppercase, the values are case insensitive



OpenMP and Global Data



Global data - example

```
          ....
      include "global.h"
          ....
!$omp parallel private(j)
      do j = 1, n
         call suba(j)
      end do
!$omp end do
!$omp end parallel

          ....
```

file global.h

```
common /work/a(m,n),b(m)
```

```
subroutine suba(j)
  .....
include "global.h"
  .....
do i = 1, m
   b(i) = j
end do

do i = 1, m
    a(i,j) = func_call(b(i))
end do

return
end
```

Race condition !

Global data - race condition



Thread 1

```
call suba(1)

subroutine suba(j=1)
do i = 1, m
   b(i) = 1
end do
          ....
do i = 1, m
 a(i,1)=func_call(b(i))
end do
```

Thread 2

```
call suba(2)

subroutine suba(j=2)
do i = 1, m
   b(i) = 2
end do
          ....
do i = 1, m
  a(i,2)=func_call(b(i))
end do
```

Shared

Example - solution



```
          ....
      include "global.h"
          ....
!$omp parallel private(j)
      do j = 1, n
         call suba(j)
      end do
!$omp end do
!$omp end parallel

          ....
```

new file global.h

```
integer, parameter:: nthreads=4
common /work/a(m,n)
common /tprivate/b(m,nthreads)
```

```
subroutine suba(j)
  .....
include "global.h"
  .....

TID = omp_get_thread_num()+1
do i = 1, m
   b(i,TID) = j
end do

do i = 1, m
   a(i,j)=func_call(b(i,TID))
end do

return
end
```

- *By expanding array B, we can give each thread unique access to it's storage area*
- *Note that this can also be done using dynamic memory (allocatable, malloc, ...)*

Example - solution 2



```
          ....
      include "global.h"
          ....
!$omp parallel private(j)
      do j = 1, n
         call suba(j)
      end do
!$omp end do
!$omp end parallel

          ....
```

new file global.h

```
common /work/a(m,n)
common /tprivate/b(m)
!$omp threadprivate(/tprivate/)
```

```
subroutine suba(j)
  .....
include "global.h"
  .....

do i = 1, m
   b(i) = j
end do

do i = 1, m
   a(i,j) = func_call(b(i))
end do

return
end
```

- *The compiler will create thread private copies of array B, to give each thread unique access to it's storage area*
- *Note that the number of copies will be automatically adapted to the number of threads*

About global data



- *Global data is shared and requires special care*
- *A problem may arise in case multiple threads access the same memory section simultaneously:*
  - *Read-only data is no problem*
  - *Updates have to be checked for race conditions*
- *It is your responsibility to deal with this situation*
- *In general one can do the following:*
  - *Split the global data into a part that is accessed in serial parts only and a part that is accessed in parallel*
  - *Manually create thread private copies of the latter*
  - *Use the thread ID to access these private copies*
- *Alternative: Use OpenMP's threadprivate construct*

The threadprivate construct



- *OpenMP's threadprivate directive*

```
!$omp threadprivate (/cb/ [,/cb/] ...)
```

```
#pragma omp threadprivate (list)
```

- *Thread private copies of the designated global variables and common blocks will be made*
- *Several restrictions and rules apply when doing this:*
  - *The number of threads has to remain the same for all the parallel regions (i.e. no dynamic threads)*
    - ✔ *Sun implementation supports changing the number of threads*
  - *Initial data is undefined, unless* **copyin** *is used*
  - *.....*
- *Check the documentation when using threadprivate !*

The copyin clause



copyin (list)

- ✔ *Applies to THREADPRIVATE common blocks only*
- ✔ *At the start of the parallel region, data of the master thread is copied to the thread private copies*

Example:

```
      common /cblock/velocity
      common /fields/xfield, yfield, zfield

! create thread private common blocks

!$omp threadprivate (/cblock/, /fields/)

!$omp parallel          &
!$omp default (private) &
!$omp copyin ( /cblock/, zfield )
```




OpenMP Runtime Functions

OpenMP runtime environment



- *OpenMP provides various user-callable functions*
  - ▶ *To control and query the parallel environment*
  - ▶ *General purpose semaphore/lock routines*
    - ✔ *Nested locks are supported, but will not be covered here*
- *The runtime functions take precedence over the corresponding environment variables*
- *Recommended to use under control of an #ifdef for _OPENMP (C/C++) or conditional compilation (Fortran)*
- *C/C++ programs need to include* **<omp.h>**
- *Fortran: may want to use* **"USE omp_lib"**

OpenMP runtime library



- OpenMP Fortran library routines are external functions
- Their names start with OMP_ but usually have an integer or logical return type
- Therefore these functions must be declared explicitly
- On Sun systems the following features are available:
  - USE omp_lib
  - INCLUDE 'omp_lib.h'
  - #include “omp_lib.h” (preprocessor directive)
- Compilation with -Xlist will also report any type mismatches
- The f95 -XlistMP option for more extensive checking can be used as well

Runtime library overview



Name	Functionality
omp_set_num_threads	Set number of threads
omp_get_num_threads	Return number of threads in team
omp_get_max_threads	Return maximum number of threads
omp_get_thread_num	Get thread ID
omp_get_num_procs	Return maximum number of processors
omp_in_parallel	Check whether in parallel region
omp_set_dynamic	Activate dynamic thread adjustment (but implementation is free to ignore this)
omp_get_dynamic	Check for dynamic thread adjustment
omp_set_nested	Activate nested parallelism (but implementation is free ignore this)
omp_get_nested	Check for nested parallelism
omp_get_wtime	Returns wall clock time
omp_get_wtick	Number of seconds between clock ticks

OpenMP locking routines



- Locks provide greater flexibility over critical sections and atomic updates:
  - Possible to implement asynchronous behaviour
  - Not block structured
- The so-called lock variable, is a special variable:
  - Fortran: type INTEGER and of a KIND large enough to hold an address
  - C/C++: type omp_lock_t and omp_nest_lock_t for nested locks
- Lock variables should be manipulated through the API only
- It is illegal, and behaviour is undefined, in case a lock variable is used without the appropriate initialization

Nested locking



- Simple locks: may not be locked if already in a locked state
- Nestable locks: may be locked multiple times by the same thread before being unlocked
- In the remainder, we will discuss simple locks only
- The interface for functions dealing with nested locks is similar (but using nestable lock variables):

Simple locks	Nestable locks
omp_init_lock	omp_init_nest_lock
omp_destroy_lock	omp_destroy_nest_lock
omp_set_lock	omp_set_nest_lock
omp_unset_lock	omp_unset_nest_lock
omp_test_lock	omp_test_nest_lock

OpenMP locking example



- *The protected region contains the update of a shared variable*
- *One thread will acquire the lock and perform the update*
- *Meanwhile, the other thread will do some other work*
- *When the lock is released again, the other thread will perform the update*

Locking example - the code

```
      Program Locks
            ....
      Call omp_init_lock (LCK)

!$omp parallel shared(SUM,LCK) private(TID)

       TID = omp_get_thread_num()

       Do While ( omp_test_lock (LCK) .EQV. .FALSE. )
          Call Do_Something_Else(TID)
       End Do

       Call Do_Work(SUM,TID)

       Call omp_unset_lock (LCK)

!$omp end parallel

      Call omp_destroy_lock (LCK)

      Stop
      End
```

Initialize lock variable

Check availability of lock (will also set the lock)

Release lock again

Remove lock association

Example output for 2 threads

```
TID:  1 at 09:07:27 => entered parallel region
TID:  1 at 09:07:27 => done with WAIT loop and has the lock
TID:  1 at 09:07:27 => ready to do the parallel work
TID:  1 at 09:07:27 => this will take about 18 seconds
TID:  0 at 09:07:27 => entered parallel region
TID:  0 at 09:07:27 =>   WAIT for lock - will do something else for  5 seconds
TID:  0 at 09:07:32 =>   WAIT for lock - will do something else for  5 seconds
TID:  0 at 09:07:37 =>   WAIT for lock - will do something else for  5 seconds
TID:  0 at 09:07:42 =>   WAIT for lock - will do something else for  5 seconds
TID:  1 at 09:07:45 => done with my work
TID:  1 at 09:07:45 => done with work loop - released the lock
TID:  1 at 09:07:45 => ready to leave the parallel region
TID:  0 at 09:07:47 => done with WAIT loop and has the lock
TID:  0 at 09:07:47 => ready to do the parallel work
TID:  0 at 09:07:47 => this will take about 18 seconds
TID:  0 at 09:08:05 => done with my work
TID:  0 at 09:08:05 => done with work loop - released the lock
TID:  0 at 09:08:05 => ready to leave the parallel region
Done at 09:08:05 - value of SUM is 1100
```

Used to check the answer

Note: program has been instrumented to get this information

Wrap-Up

Summary



- *OpenMP provides for a compact, but yet powerful, programming model for shared memory programming*
- *OpenMP supports Fortran, C and C++*
- *OpenMP programs are portable to a wide range of systems*
- *An OpenMP program can be written such that the sequential version is still "built-in"*



Thank You !

(shameless plug: come to our OMPlab talk to hear more about the Sun OpenMP environment and features)

