Applied Cryptography Week 3: Block Ciphers

Bernardo Portela

M:ERSI, M:SI - 23

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Defining Block Ciphers

A block cipher is defined by two <u>deterministic</u> algorithms Encrypt: E(k, p)

- Takes a key $k \in \{0,1\}^{\lambda}$
- Takes a plaintext block $p \in \{0,1\}^B$
- Outputs a ciphertext block $c \in \{0,1\}^B$

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Defining Block Ciphers

A block cipher is defined by two <u>deterministic</u> algorithms Encrypt: E(k, p)

- Takes a key $k \in \{0,1\}^{\lambda}$
- Takes a plaintext block $p \in \{0,1\}^B$
- Outputs a ciphertext block $c \in \{0,1\}^B$

Decrypt: D(k, c)

- Takes a key $k \in \{0,1\}^{\lambda}$
- Takes a ciphertext block $c \in \{0,1\}^B$
- Outputs a plaintext block $p \in \{0,1\}^B$

A block cipher is **invertible**: k defines a **permutation**

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Defining Security for Block Ciphers

Block cipher should be a pseudorandom permutation (PRP)

Q1: How can we define this concretely?

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Defining Security for Block Ciphers

Block cipher should be a **pseudorandom permutation** (PRP)

- Q1: How can we define this concretely? Using an experiment:
 - Experiment samples uniformly at random:

•
$$k \in \{0,1\}^{\lambda}$$

- permutation $\pi: \{0,1\}^B \Rightarrow \{0,1\}^B$
- bit b

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Defining Security for Block Ciphers

Block cipher should be a **pseudorandom permutation** (PRP)

Q1: How can we define this concretely? Using an experiment:

- Experiment samples uniformly at random:
 - $k \in \{0,1\}^{\lambda}$
 - permutation $\pi: \{0,1\}^B \Rightarrow \{0,1\}^B$
 - bit b
- Attacker can ask for encryptions:
 - Attacker selects $p \in \{0,1\}^B$
 - If b = 0, experiment returns E(k, p)
 - Otherwise, experiment returns $\pi(p)$

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Defining Security for Block Ciphers

Block cipher should be a **pseudorandom permutation** (PRP)

Q1: How can we define this concretely? Using an experiment:

- Experiment samples uniformly at random:
 - $k \in \{0,1\}^{\lambda}$
 - permutation $\pi: \{0,1\}^B \Rightarrow \{0,1\}^B$
 - bit b
- Attacker can ask for encryptions:
 - Attacker selects $p \in \{0,1\}^B$
 - If b = 0, experiment returns E(k, p)
 - Otherwise, experiment returns $\pi(p)$
- Attacker outputs b' and wins if b = b'

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Defining Security for Block Ciphers

Block cipher should be a **pseudorandom permutation** (PRP)

Q1: How can we define this concretely? Using an experiment:

- Experiment samples uniformly at random:
 - $k \in \{0,1\}^{\lambda}$
 - permutation $\pi: \{0,1\}^B \Rightarrow \{0,1\}^B$
 - bit b
- Attacker can ask for encryptions:
 - Attacker selects $p \in \{0,1\}^B$
 - If b = 0, experiment returns E(k, p)
 - Otherwise, experiment returns $\pi(p)$
- Attacker outputs b' and wins if b = b'

Q2: How do we calculate the adversarial advantage?

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Defining Security for Block Ciphers

Block cipher should be a **pseudorandom permutation** (PRP)

Q1: How can we define this concretely? Using an experiment:

• Experiment samples uniformly at random:

•
$$k \in \{0,1\}^{\lambda}$$

- permutation $\pi: \{0,1\}^B \Rightarrow \{0,1\}^B$
- bit b
- Attacker can ask for encryptions:
 - Attacker selects $p \in \{0,1\}^B$
 - If b = 0, experiment returns E(k, p)
 - Otherwise, experiment returns $\pi(p)$
- Attacker outputs b' and wins if b = b'

Q2: How do we calculate the adversarial advantage?

Advantage: $|\Pr[b = b'] - \frac{1}{2}|$

Block Ciphers

Building Block Ciphers

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Implications of PRP Security

Our scheme is *indistinguishable* from a random permutation. What is a random permutation $(\pi : \{0,1\}^B \Rightarrow \{0,1\}^B)$, exactly?

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Implications of PRP Security

Our scheme is *indistinguishable* from a random permutation. What is a random permutation $(\pi : \{0,1\}^B \Rightarrow \{0,1\}^B)$, exactly?

- Huge table with 2^B entries, indexed by plaintext p
- Each entry contains C
- Each C is sampled uniformly at random, without repeats
 - Q: Why must Cs never repeat?

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Implications of PRP Security

Our scheme is *indistinguishable* from a random permutation. What is a random permutation $(\pi : \{0,1\}^B \Rightarrow \{0,1\}^B)$, exactly?

- Huge table with 2^B entries, indexed by plaintext p
- Each entry contains C
- Each C is sampled uniformly at random, without repeats
 - Q: Why must Cs never repeat?
 - PRPs are invertible!
 - Different from purely random functions

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Implications of PRP Security

Our scheme is *indistinguishable* from a random permutation. What is a random permutation $(\pi : \{0,1\}^B \Rightarrow \{0,1\}^B)$, exactly?

- Huge table with 2^B entries, indexed by plaintext p
- Each entry contains C
- Each C is sampled uniformly at random, without repeats
 - Q: Why must Cs never repeat?
 - PRPs are invertible!
 - Different from purely random functions

Implications

- Ciphertext blocks look totally random
- Different inputs ⇒ independent outputs
- Must be impossible to recover key

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Selecting the Block Size

E and D work on bitstrings of size B – the block size

Data Encryption Standard (DES, 70s-90s): B = 64 (8 bytes)

Advanced Encryption Standard (AES, 2000s-): B = 128 (16 bytes)

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Selecting the Block Size

E and D work on bitstrings of size B – the block size

Data Encryption Standard (DES, 70s-90s): B = 64 (8 bytes)

Advanced Encryption Standard (AES, 2000s-): B = 128 (16 bytes)

- Block must be small for efficient SW/HW implementation
- Block cannot be too small
 - Constructions based on block ciphers
 - Key space 2^λ
 - Block size must be close to the security parameter $Bpprox\lambda$

Some encryption schemes based on block constructions are insecure if the block size is too small (64 can be problematic). More information **here**

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Iterated Ciphers: Rounds

Shorter descriptions and code/HW footprints:

- Simple and efficient round algorithm R
- Round algorithm is not as secure as a block cipher
- Block cipher iterates round algorithm *n* times

Symmetric Encryption

Iterated Ciphers: Rounds

Shorter descriptions and code/HW footprints:

- Simple and efficient round algorithm R
- Round algorithm is <u>not</u> as secure as a block cipher
- Block cipher iterates round algorithm *n* times
- Each round takes a different key
 - Round key derived from block cipher key
 - Sequence of round keys called key schedule
- Decrypting follows the same method in reverse
- E.g. for a 3 round scheme:

$$c \leftarrow E(k,p) = R_3(k_3, R_2(k_2, R_1(k_1, p)))$$

 $p \leftarrow D(k,c) = R_1^{-1}(k_1, R_2^{-1}(k_2, R_3^{-1}(k_3, c)))$

- **Substitution:** S-boxes are small lookup tables (4-8 bits) designed to introduce non-linearity in the round function. They create *confusion*
- **Permutation:** Bit-level transformations (e.g. switches) or algebraic functions that introduce dependencies across the whole block (*diffusion*)

- **Substitution:** S-boxes are small lookup tables (4-8 bits) designed to introduce non-linearity in the round function. They create *confusion*
- **Permutation:** Bit-level transformations (e.g. switches) or algebraic functions that introduce dependencies across the whole block (*diffusion*)
- Q: Why is diffusion necessary?

- **Substitution:** S-boxes are small lookup tables (4-8 bits) designed to introduce non-linearity in the round function. They create *confusion*
- **Permutation:** Bit-level transformations (e.g. switches) or algebraic functions that introduce dependencies across the whole block (*diffusion*)

Q: Why is diffusion necessary?

Consider the encryption of "Attack at dawn" and "Attack at dusk"

- **Substitution:** S-boxes are small lookup tables (4-8 bits) designed to introduce non-linearity in the round function. They create *confusion*
- **Permutation:** Bit-level transformations (e.g. switches) or algebraic functions that introduce dependencies across the whole block (*diffusion*)
- Q: Why is diffusion necessary?

Consider the encryption of "Attack at dawn" and "Attack at dusk"

S-boxes heuristically designed to

- Create complex relations between input and output
- Minimize statistical bias in outputs

Example block cipher: AES

Block Ciphers

Building Block Ciphers

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Substitution-Permutation Networks - High-level View



Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Round Functions #2: Feistel Networks

Round function processes half of the block

- Input block seen as pair (*I*, *r*)
- Output block is $(r \oplus R(k_i, l), l)$
- *R* is the round function

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Round Functions #2: Feistel Networks

Round function processes half of the block

- Input block seen as pair (*I*, *r*)
- Output block is $(r \oplus R(k_i, l), l)$
- *R* is the round function

Unprocessed half-block is masked to the next round

Decryption is identical to encryption

- Only key scheduling is inverted
- Very important for HW optimization in the 70s Example block cipher: DES, GOST

Block Ciphers

Building Block Ciphers

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Feistel Networks - High-level View



Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Round Functions for Feistel Networks

Symmetric Encryption

Round Functions for Feistel Networks

- These can be Pseudorandom Functions (PRFs)
- A PRF is similar to a PRP, but not necessarily invertible
- Input size can be different from output size
- Security experiment is similar to that of the PRP:

Round Functions for Feistel Networks

- These can be Pseudorandom Functions (PRFs)
- A PRF is similar to a PRP, but not necessarily invertible
- Input size can be different from output size
- Security experiment is similar to that of the PRP:
 - Experiment chooses a random f
 - Rather than a random permutation π
 - Q: Is the domain space of random functions larger or smaller than that of all permutations?

Round Functions for Feistel Networks

- These can be Pseudorandom Functions (PRFs)
- A PRF is similar to a PRP, but not necessarily invertible
- Input size can be different from output size
- Security experiment is similar to that of the PRP:
 - Experiment chooses a random f
 - Rather than a random permutation π
 - Q: Is the domain space of random functions larger or smaller than that of all permutations?
- If the round function is secure, 4 rounds ensure a PRP!
- Practical block ciphers use extra rounds
 - Round functions heuristically designed

Advanced Encryption Standard • 00000000 Symmetric Encryption

Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)

AES was standardized in 2000

- DES was still standard (56-bit keys)
- 3DES was a common solution for short keys (112-bit security)
- 3DES: use DES 3 times with 3 independent keys
- 3DES chains $E(k_1, D(k_2, E(k_3, p)))$

Advanced Encryption Standard • 00000000 Symmetric Encryption

Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)

AES was standardized in 2000

- DES was still standard (56-bit keys)
- 3DES was a common solution for short keys (112-bit security)
- 3DES: use DES 3 times with 3 independent keys
- 3DES chains E(k₁, D(k₂, E(k₃, p)))
- Q: Why EDE and not EEE?

Advanced Encryption Standard •00000000

Symmetric Encryption

Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)

AES was standardized in 2000

- DES was still standard (56-bit keys)
- 3DES was a common solution for short keys (112-bit security)
- 3DES: use DES 3 times with 3 independent keys
- 3DES chains E(k₁, D(k₂, E(k₃, p)))
- Q: Why EDE and not EEE?

AES is now the most used block cipher, by far

• Available in mainstream CPUs as HW implementation

Selected as a result of a competition

- 1997-2000 public competition run by NIST
- This process has since become the norm
- Open to proposals, scrutinized by the community
- Criteria: performance and resistance to cryptanalysis



Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Internals of AES

- Block size 128-bits and varying key size (128, 192, 256)-bits
- Keeps a 128-bit internal state: 4 × 4 array of 16-bits
- State is transformed using a substitution-permutation network



Substitutions/permutations have an algebraic description

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Internals of AES - Explained

The substitution-permutation network uses:

- AddRoundKey \oplus with the state
- SubBytes Replace each byte using lookup table (S-Box)
- ShiftRows Matrix rows shifted 0..3 positions
- MixColumns Columns transformed

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Internals of AES - Explained

The substitution-permutation network uses:

- AddRoundKey \oplus with the state
- SubBytes Replace each byte using lookup table (S-Box)
- ShiftRows Matrix rows shifted 0..3 positions
- MixColumns Columns transformed

SubBytes performs the substitution part

ShiftRows and MixColumns are the permutation

Last round has no MixColumns. Not necessary. Read more here

Block Ciphers

Building Block Ciphers

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Internals of AES - High Level View


Building Block Ciphers

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Internals of AES - SubBytes

s ₀	\$4	\$8	s ₁₂		s'0	s'4	s'8	s' ₁₂
s ₁	s ₅	\$9	s ₁₃		s'1	s'5	s'9	s' ₁₃
s ₂	S ₆	s ₁₀		S-Box	s'	s'₀ ►	s' ₁₀	s' ₁₄
S ₃	s ₇	S ₁₁	\$15		s'3	s'7	s'11	s' ₁₅

Building Block Ciphers

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Internals of AES - ShiftBytes

s ₀	S 4	s ₈	S ₁₂	ShiftRows	s'0	s'4	s'8	s' ₁₂
s ₁	s ₅	S9	S ₁₃		s'5	s'9	s' ₁₃	s'1
s ₂	s ₆	s ₁₀	S ₁₄		s'10	s' ₁₄	s'2	s' ₆
S ₃	S7	S ₁₁	S ₁₅		s' ₁₅	s'3	s'7	s'11

Building Block Ciphers

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Internals of AES - MixColumns



Building Block Ciphers

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Implementing AES

The not so good

- AES is hard to implement in software
- Naive implementations using tables leak via side-channels
- Removing side-channels in software is hard

Building Block Ciphers

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Implementing AES

The not so good

- AES is hard to implement in software
- Naive implementations using tables leak via side-channels
- Removing side-channels in software is hard

The good

- AES is super fast in mainstream processors
- AES-NI AES Native Instructions
- From SW one can resort to HW AES

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Security of AES

There is no mathematical proof that AES is a PRP

All practical applications based on AES assume this

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Security of AES

There is no mathematical proof that AES is a PRP

All practical applications based on AES assume this

AES has been around for 25 years:

- No significant cryptanalysis progress
- AES scrutiny is an important area of research
- Direct attack on AES unlikely to be the weakest link

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Security of AES

There is no mathematical proof that AES is a PRP

All practical applications based on AES assume this

AES has been around for 25 years:

- No significant cryptanalysis progress
- AES scrutiny is an important area of research
- Direct attack on AES unlikely to be the weakest link

Assuming AES is a PRP gives us provably secure and very efficient symmetric encryption schemes

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Using Block Ciphers Directly

Recall our secure PRP block cipher building block:

Encrypt: E(k, p)

- Takes a key $k \in \{0,1\}^{\lambda}$
- Takes a plaintext block $p \in \{0,1\}^B$
- Outputs a ciphertext block $c \in \{0,1\}^B$

Decrypt: D(k, c)

- Takes a key $k \in \{0,1\}^{\lambda}$
- Takes a ciphertext block $c \in \{0,1\}^B$
- Outputs a plaintext block $p \in \{0,1\}^B$

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Using Block Ciphers Directly

Recall our secure PRP block cipher building block:

Encrypt: E(k, p)

- Takes a key $k \in \{0,1\}^{\lambda}$
- Takes a plaintext block $p \in \{0,1\}^B$
- Outputs a ciphertext block $c \in \{0,1\}^B$

Decrypt: D(k, c)

- Takes a key $k \in \{0,1\}^{\lambda}$
- Takes a ciphertext block $c \in \{0,1\}^B$
- Outputs a plaintext block $p \in \{0,1\}^B$

Q: What problem arises in using this to encrypt messages?

Building Block Ciphers

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Modes of Operation

Modern cryptography clearly defines these concepts

- Block-ciphers are a **primitive**
- On their own, they're not very useful
- There are insecure ways to encrypt with a block cipher
- Encryption schemes have their own security definitions
- Encryption schemes built from block ciphers
- We prove encryption secure assuming a block cipher PRP

Defining Symmetric Encryption

Syntax

- Key Generation: Often uniform sampling in $\{0,1\}^{\lambda}$
- Encryption: Probabilistic algorithm $c \leftarrow s E(k, m)$
- Decryption: Deterministic algorithm $m/\perp \leftarrow D(k,c)$

Defining Symmetric Encryption

Syntax

- Key Generation: Often uniform sampling in $\{0,1\}^{\lambda}$
- Encryption: Probabilistic algorithm $c \leftarrow s E(k, m)$
- Decryption: Deterministic algorithm $m/\perp \leftarrow D(k,c)$

Security (IND-CPA)

- Experiment samples k and bit b uniformly at random
- Attacker can query encryptions of chosen messages
- Attacker outputs (m_0, m_1) s.t. $|m_0| = |m_1|$
- Attacker gets $c \leftarrow E(k, m_b)$
- Attacker outputs b' and wins if b = b'

Defining Symmetric Encryption

Syntax

- Key Generation: Often uniform sampling in $\{0,1\}^{\lambda}$
- Encryption: Probabilistic algorithm $c \leftarrow s E(k, m)$
- Decryption: Deterministic algorithm $m/\perp \leftarrow D(k,c)$

Security (IND-CPA)

- Experiment samples k and bit b uniformly at random
- Attacker can query encryptions of chosen messages
- Attacker outputs (m_0, m_1) s.t. $|m_0| = |m_1|$
- Attacker gets $c \leftarrow E(k, m_b)$
- Attacker outputs b' and wins if b = b'

Advantage: $|\Pr[b = b'] - \frac{1}{2}|$

Insecure Encryption from Secure Block Ciphers

Electronic-Code-Book Mode (ECB)

- Break message into plaintext blocks p₀,..., p_n
- Last block may need padding
 - That's a can of worms in and of itself
 - More on that later
- Independently encrypt each block $c_i \leftarrow E(k, p_i)$

Insecure Encryption from Secure Block Ciphers

Electronic-Code-Book Mode (ECB)

- Break message into plaintext blocks p_0, \ldots, p_n
- Last block may need padding
 - That's a can of worms in and of itself
 - More on that later
- Independently encrypt each block $c_i \leftarrow E(k, p_i)$
- Q: Why is this insecure?

Insecure Encryption from Secure Block Ciphers

Electronic-Code-Book Mode (ECB)

- Break message into plaintext blocks p_0, \ldots, p_n
- Last block may need padding
 - That's a can of worms in and of itself
 - More on that later
- Independently encrypt each block $c_i \leftarrow E(k, p_i)$
- Q: Why is this insecure?

ECB is broken because you can see the penguin!

Insecure Encryption from Secure Block Ciphers

Electronic-Code-Book Mode (ECB)

- Break message into plaintext blocks p_0, \ldots, p_n
- Last block may need padding
 - That's a can of worms in and of itself
 - More on that later
- Independently encrypt each block $c_i \leftarrow E(k, p_i)$
- Q: Why is this insecure?

ECB is broken because you can see the penguin!



Building Block Ciphers

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Breaking ECB

What is the issue?

- Equal input blocks \Rightarrow Equal output blocks
- Preserves patterns that vary slower than block size

Building Block Ciphers

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Breaking ECB

What is the issue?

- Equal input blocks \Rightarrow Equal output blocks
- Preserves patterns that vary slower than block size

Q1: Can we prove it is insecure (win the game)?

Building Block Ciphers

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Breaking ECB

What is the issue?

- Equal input blocks \Rightarrow Equal output blocks
- Preserves patterns that vary slower than block size

Q1: Can we prove it is insecure (win the game)?

- Output $m_0
 eq m_1$, $|m_0| = |m_1|$, get c
- Request an encryption of m₀ to get c*
- If b' = 0 iff $c = c^*$

This attack works against all deterministic encryption schemes

Building Block Ciphers

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Breaking ECB

What is the issue?

- Equal input blocks \Rightarrow Equal output blocks
- Preserves patterns that vary slower than block size

Q1: Can we prove it is insecure (win the game)?

- Output $m_0
 eq m_1$, $|m_0| = |m_1|$, get c
- Request an encryption of m₀ to get c*
- If b' = 0 iff $c = c^*$

This attack works against all deterministic encryption schemes

Q2: Can we prove it is insecure not querying exactly m_0/m_1 ?

Building Block Ciphers

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Cipher Block Chaining

Engineers designed a secure encryption scheme before security proofs were well understood



- Main difference to ECB is the Initialization Vector (IV)
- Blocks depend on each other

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Cipher Block Chaining: Performance and Security

Intuition of CBC security

- Random IV makes first block-cipher input random
- Block cipher security implies c1 looks random and independent
- CBC uses c_1 as the IV for the second block
- Same argument for c₂
- Two encryptions of the same plaintext look independent

Building Block Ciphers

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Working with CBC



• Q1: How can we do decryption?

Building Block Ciphers

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Working with CBC



- Q1: How can we do decryption?
- Q2: Can we speed encrypt/decrypt with parallelism?

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

CBC: Padding

There are several padding methods

- Some schemes require message size as multiple of block size
- Padding schemes re-encode message so that is true
- To avoid ambiguity: padding is always added

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

CBC: Padding

There are several padding methods

- Some schemes require message size as multiple of block size
- Padding schemes re-encode message so that is true
- To avoid ambiguity: padding is always added

The most common padding scheme is specified in PKCS#7:

- Let k > |M| be the next multiple of B (in bytes)
- Add k |M| bytes with value k |M|
- The last byte always reveals how much padding was added
 - 0x01 means 1 byte of padding with that value
 - 0x03 means 3 bytes of padding with that value

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

CBC: Padding

There are several padding methods

- Some schemes require message size as multiple of block size
- Padding schemes re-encode message so that is true
- To avoid ambiguity: padding is always added

The most common padding scheme is specified in PKCS#7:

- Let k > |M| be the next multiple of B (in bytes)
- Add k |M| bytes with value k |M|
- The last byte always reveals how much padding was added
 - 0x01 means 1 byte of padding with that value
 - 0x03 means 3 bytes of padding with that value

Q: What is the minimum and maximum of added padding?

Building Block Ciphers

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Counter Block Mode

Often Counter Block Mode (CTR) is used in Nonce-based form



- N must be unique, but not necessarily random
- Encryption becomes stateful

Building Block Ciphers

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Counter Block Mode

Often Counter Block Mode (CTR) is used in Nonce-based form



- N must be unique, but not necessarily random
- Encryption becomes stateful
- Q: How can this be faster than CBC?

Building Block Ciphers

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Advantages of CTR

Counter mode is very efficient

- Key stream can be pre-processed
 - Block cipher not applied to the message!
- Any part of the data can be accessed efficiently
- This includes read/write access
- Decryption/encryption can be parallelized

As such, many modern protocols rely on CTR mode

Errors in Designing Modes of Operation

Recall the guarantees of IND-CPA

- Attacker has access to encryptions
- Can't extract any information about messages
- What if it has access to side information on decryption?
- No guarantee that modified ciphertext is rejected: what leaks?

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Errors in Designing Modes of Operation

Recall the guarantees of IND-CPA

- Attacker has access to encryptions
- Can't extract any information about messages
- What if it has access to side information on decryption?
- No guarantee that modified ciphertext is rejected: what leaks?

A (very real) practical example:

- Padding oracle attacks against AES-CBC (TLS 1.*)
- Attacker gets to observe padding check error
- This is enough to recover plaintext (e.g. cookies)

Advanced Encryption Standard

Symmetric Encryption

Errors in Designing Modes of Operation

Recall the guarantees of IND-CPA

- Attacker has access to encryptions
- Can't extract any information about messages
- What if it has access to side information on decryption?
- No guarantee that modified ciphertext is rejected: what leaks?

A (very real) practical example:

- Padding oracle attacks against AES-CBC (TLS 1.*)
- Attacker gets to observe padding check error
- This is enough to recover plaintext (e.g. cookies)

At the root of the problem: allowing non-authenticated ciphertexts

Applied Cryptography Week 3: Block Ciphers

Bernardo Portela

M:ERSI, M:SI - 23